Synthesis, Characterization and Biological Application of Pyrazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidine Based Organometallic Re(I) Complexes
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Abstract

The neutral rhenium(I) complexes (I–VI) of type [ReCl(CO)3Ln] {where L1 = 7-phenyl-5-(pyridin-2-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine, L2 = 7-(4-bromophenyl)-5-(pyridin-2-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine, L3 = 7-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-(pyridin-2-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine, L4 = 7-(2-chlorophenyl)-5-(pyridin-2-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine, L5 = 7-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-(pyridin-2-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine} were synthesized and characterized by 13C-APT, 1H-NMR, IR, electronic spectra, magnetic moment and conductance measurement. The anti-proliferative activity on HCT116 cells by MTT assay suggests potent cytotoxic nature of complexes, some complexes even have better activity than standard drug cisplatin, oxaliplatin, and carboplatin. The complexes were found to have better antimicrobial activity compare to pyrazolo pyrimidine ligands. The theoretical study of compounds-DNA interactions was examined by molecular docking as a supportive tool to the experimental data, which suggests the groove mode of binding. The values of docking energy for compounds-DNA interaction were found in the range of –230.31 to –288.34 kJ/mol. The intrinsic binding constant values of complexes (1.1–3.5 × 10^5 M⁻¹) were found higher than the ligands (0.32–1.8 × 10^5 M⁻¹).
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1. Introduction

Metal carbonyl moieties, such as {M(CO)3} (M= Cr, Mn, Re, Fe), can attach to the biomolecules capable of molecular recognition, to label and assay, specific biological receptors. When M = Tc or Re, the same idea is used to introduce radioactive ⁹⁹mTc, ¹⁸⁶Re, or ¹⁸⁸Re at a receptor for radiopharmaceutical applications.¹² There has been considerable interest in testing metal carbonyls for anticaner activity.³ For example, [Co₂(CO)₆(HC₅C-CH₂O₂C₆H₄-2-OH)] is more active than cisplatin on the human mammary tumor cell lines MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231.⁴ Also [η⁵-(4-Me₂N(CH₂)₄OC₂H₄)-(4-HOC₂H₄)CHCHETC₆H₄] Re(CO)₃ has been shown to behave similarly to tamoxifen, and it appears that the observed antiproliferative effect is dependent on the oestadiol receptor α.⁵

Pyrazole and pyrimidine derivatives attracted organic chemists very much due to their biological and chemotherapeutic importance. Pyrazolo pyrimidines and related fused heterocycles are of interest as potential bioactive molecules. They are known to exhibit pharmacological ac-
tivities such as CNS depressant, neuroleptic, and tuberculostatic. Recently, the chemistry of pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidines attracted great attention as a synthetically important class of compounds. They represent biologically important compounds of purine analogues and this class has attracted wide pharmaceutical interest as inhibitory compounds of lymphocyte-specific kinase (Lck) with enzymatic, class has attracted wide pharmaceutical interest as inhibitory compounds of lymphocyte-specific kinase (Lck) with enzymatic, cellular, and in vivo potency. In 2003, a research group from NRC synthesized some pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidines and studied their biological effects as an anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and antipyretic drugs in comparison to novalgin. The choice of the ligand is very important for the development of new radiotherapeutics reagents; thus, studies on rhenium(I) complexes with ligands as aromatic N-heterocycles have shown a great effectiveness.

In continuation of our earlier work, the present study illustrates the synthesis of new heterocyclic ligands and their organometallic rhenium complexes. Heterocyclic compounds have significant biological importance upon chelation with pentacarbonyl chloro rhenium(I) and presence of carbonyls group attached with metal which further enhanced the biological activity.

2. Experimental

Materials and methods: All the chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade, 2-acetyl thiophene, substituted aldehyde were purchased from Merck Limited (India), different substituted phenyl hydrazine were purchased from Thirumalai Chemicals Ltd. (TCL), potassium-tert-butoxide, potassium hydroxide purchased from Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. (SRL), pentacarbonyl chloro rhenium(1) purchased from Sigma Aldrich (USA). Luria broth and nutrient broth were purchased from Himedia (India). Luria broth was purchased from Sigma Aldrich Chem. GmbH, Germany CHNO RAPID. Molar conductance was measured using a conductivity meter model no. EQ-660A, Mumbai (India). Melting points (°C, uncorrected) were determined in open capillaries on the ThermoCal10 melting point apparatus (Analab Scientific Pvt. Ltd, India). The electronic spectra were recorded on a UV-160A UV–Vis spectrophotometer, Shimadzu (Japan). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) study was carried out using laminar airflow cabinet (Toshiba, Delhi, India). Hydodynamic chain length study was carried out by a viscometric measurement bath. Photo quantization of the gel after electrophoresis was carried out on AlphaDigiDocTM RT. Version V.4.0.0 PC–Image software.

General method for synthesis of pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidines ligands (L1-L6): The α,β unsaturated carbonyl compounds (3a-3f) were synthesized using literature procedure. Syntheses of the pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidines based ligands (L1-L6) were carried out using Lipson and coworkers method. To a solution of the α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds (3a-3f) (~2.391 mmol) in 10 mL of DMF, 1H-pyrazol-3-amine (4a) (~198.7 mg, ~2.391 mmol) and KOH (~15 mg, ~2.391 mmol) solution were added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 30 min. Completion of the reaction was checked by TLC plates, the excess of solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the reaction mixture was cooled on an ice bath. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL × 2) and washed thoroughly with water (25 mL × 2). The brine solution of sodium chloride was added to it and dried over sodium sulphate. The resulting mixture was concentrated under vacuum to obtain pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine based ligands as products. The 1H and 13C NMR spectra are shown in supplementary material 1 and 2 respectively.

Synthesis of 7-phenyl-5-(pyridin-2-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a] pyrimidine (L1): The ligand (L1) was prepared by using enone (3a) (500 mg, 2.391 mmol) and 1H-pyrazole-3-amine (4a) (198.7 mg, 2.391 mmol). Yield: 84.2%; Color: yellowish amberish solid; mp 170 °C; Mol. wt.: 272.31g/mol; Empirical formula: C13H12N4; Elemental analysis: Calc. (%): C, 74.98; H, 4.44; N, 20.58; found. C, 74.88; H, 4.40; N, 20.58; Mass spectra (m/z %): 272.20 (100) [M+]; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ/ppm: 8.75 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz, H 5), 8.59 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H 4’), 8.22 (1H, s, H 6), 8.16 (2H, dd, J = 4.4 Hz, J = 3.2 Hz, H 3, 5’), 7.89 (2H, dd, J = 1.6 Hz, H 2, 6’), 7.60 (1H, d, J = 3.6 Hz, H 3), 7.41 (3H, m, H 3, 4, 5’), 6.86 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H 4). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ/ppm: 155.1 (C 8, Cquat.), 154.5 (C 2’, Cquat.), 149.8 (C 6, Cquat.), 149.2 (C 6’, Cquat.), 146.9 (C 5, CH), 145.2 (C 4, CH), 136.4 (C 3, CH), 131.6 (C 1, Cquat.), 130.9 (C 3, −CH), 129.4 (C 4, −CH), 128.6 (C 2, −CH), 124.8 (C 5, −CH), 121.6 (C 3, −CH), 105.2 (C 1, −CH), 97.5 (C 4, −CH). [Total signal observed = 15: signal of C = 5 (phenyl ring-C = 1, pyrazol[1,5-a]pyrimidine-C = 3, pyridine ring-C = 1), signal of CH = 10 (pyrazol[1,5-a]pyrimidine-CH = 3, phenylring-CH = 3, pyridine ring-CH = 4)]; IR (KBr, 4000–400 cm−1): 2930 ν(=C-H)ar, 1551 ν(C=N),
1504 (C-H) bending, 1251 v(C-N), 1597 v(C=C) conjugated alkenes, 763 v(3Ar-H) adjacent hydrogen.

7-[(4-Bromophenyl)-5-(pyridin-2-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine (L2): The ligand (L2) was prepared by using enone (3b) (500 mg, 1.730 mmol) and 1H-pyrazole-3-amine (4a) (143.8 mg, 1.730 mmol). Yield: 84.2%; Color: yellowish amorphous solid; mp 182 °C; Mol. wt.: 351.21 g/mol.

**Empirical formula:** C17H11BrN4, Calc. (found) (%): C, 66.56; H, 3.16; N, 15.95; found. C, 66.50; H, 3.60; N, 18.23; Mass spectra (m/z %): 180 (100) [M+], 308.20 [M+2]; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ/ppm: 8.73 (1H, d, J = 3.6 Hz, H5), 8.61 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H4), 8.19 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H6), 8.03 (1H, s, H2), 7.93 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz, H7), 7.62 (2H, m, H3',5'), 5.21 (2H, m, H7), 8.11 (1H, d, J = 5.2 Hz, H2), 6.88 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H3).**13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ/ppm:** 7.75 g/mol; Mp: 178 °C; Color: yellowish amorphous solid; mp 178 °C; Vol. wt.: 302.34 g/mol; Empirical formula: C17H11BrN4, Calc. (found) (%): C, 58.14; H, 3.16; N, 15.95; found. C, 66.50; H, 3.60; N, 18.23; Mass spectra (m/z %): 153.1 (C 4a, Cquat.), 148.8 (C 8, Cquat.), 148.9 (C 6, –CH), 145.7 (C 4a, Cquat.), 145.2 (C 4a –CH), 137.9 (C 6 –CH), 130.9 (C 2y, –CH), 125.5 (C 4a –CH), 122.3 (C 4a), 121.1 (C 2y –CH), 117.6 (C 2y –CH), 103.3 (C 6 –CH), 97.7 (C 4a –CH), 97.9 (C 4a –CH).

Total signal observed = 15: signal of C = 6 (p-Br-phenyl ring-C = 2, pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-CH = 3, p-Br-phenyl ring-CH = 2, pyridine ring-CH = 4); IR (KBr, 4000–400 cm⁻¹): 2922 v(=C-H)ar., 1551 ν(C=N), 1514 (C–H) bending, 1188 ν(C–N), 1597 v(C=C) conjugated alkenes, 764 v(3Ar-H) adjacent hydrogen.

7-[(4-Chlorophenyl)-5-(pyridin-2-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine (L3): The ligand (L3) was prepared by using enone (3c) (500 mg, 2.082 mmol) and 1H-pyrazole-3-amine (4a) (173 mg, 2.082 mmol). Yield: 87.6%; Color: yellowish amorphous solid;

**Empirical formula:** C17H11ClN4, Calc. (found) (%): C, 58.14; H, 3.16; N, 15.95; found. C, 58.08; H, 3.11; N, 15.90; Mass spectra (m/z %): 306.20 (100) [M+], 308.20 [M+2]; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ/ppm: 8.73 (1H, d, J = 3.6 Hz, H5), 8.61 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H4), 8.19 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H6), 8.03 (1H, s, H2), 7.93 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz, H7), 7.62 (2H, m, H3',5'), 5.21 (2H, m, H7), 8.11 (1H, d, J = 5.2 Hz, H2), 6.88 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H3).**13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ/ppm:** 7.75 g/mol; Mp: 178 °C; Vol. wt.: 302.20 (100) [M+], 304.20 [M+2]; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ/ppm: 8.73 (1H, d, J = 3.6 Hz, H5), 8.61 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H4), 8.19 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H6), 8.03 (1H, s, H2), 7.93 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz, H7), 7.62 (2H, m, H3',5'), 5.21 (2H, m, H7), 8.11 (1H, d, J = 5.2 Hz, H2), 6.88 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H3).**13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ/ppm:** 161.9 (C 4a, Cquat.), 154.7 (C 6a, Cquat.), 154.01 (C 4a, Cquat.), 149.9 (C 4a –CH), 149.7 (C 6a –CH), 146.3 (C 5a, Cquat.), 138.1 (C 3, –CH), 131.7 (C 2y, –CH), 125.8 (C 5a –CH), 123.4 (C 4a, Cquat.), 121.5 (C 2y –CH), 110.3 (C 2y, –CH), 103.3 (C 6 –CH), 97.6 (C 4a –CH), 97.5 (C 4a –CH). Total signal observed = 16: signal of C = 6 (p-Br-phenyl ring-C = 2, pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-CH = 3, pyridine ring-C = 1), signal of CH = 9 (pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-CH = 3, p-CH-phenyl ring-CH = 2, pyridine ring-CH = 4); IR (KBr, 4000–400 cm⁻¹): 2922 v(=C-H)ar., 1551 v(C-N), 1514 (C–H) bending, 1188 v(C-N), 1597 v(C=C) conjugated alkenes, 764 v(3Ar-H) adjacent hydrogen.

7-[(2-Chlorophenyl)-5-(pyridin-2-yl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine (L4): The ligand (L4) was prepared by using enone (3d) (500 mg, 2.231 mmol) and 1H-pyrazole-3-amine (4a) (185.4 mg, 2.231 mmol). Yield: 82.5%; Color: yellowish amorphous solid; mp 175 °C; Vol. wt.: 286.34 g/mol; Emp-
**General synthesis of complexes:** The metal carbonyl complexes (I–VI) were synthesized using pentacarbonyl chloro rhenium(I) and ligands (L₁–L⁶) in ethanol in a 1:1 proportion.

**Synthesis of [Re(CO)₅(L₁)Cl]** (I): Ethanolic solution of the precursor of [Re(CO)₅Cl] (100 mg, 0.276 mmol) was refluxed for 10 minutes. Then a solution of ligand (L₁) (75 mg, 0.276 mmol in 10 mL ethanol), was added and the reaction was stirred yielding a solution. The resulting mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 5–6 hr. Progress of reaction was monitored by TLC after completion of reaction the solution was filtered through celite in order to remove solid particles and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure the orange red product was obtained. The proposed reaction for the synthesis of complexes (I–VI) is shown in scheme 1. Yield: 62.9%; Color: yellowish amorphous solid; mp 380 °C; Mol. wt.: 578.00 g/mol; **Empirical formula:** C₂₀H₁₂ClN₄O₃Re, **Elemental analysis:** Calc. (%): C, 41.56; H, 2.00; N, 9.69; Re, 32.22; Found. (%): C, 41.52; H, 1.98; N, 9.67; Re, 32.20; **Conductance:** 2.83 S cm² mol⁻¹. **¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ/ppm:** 9.18

**Reagent and Conditions:**
(i) Methanol, KOH
(ii) DMF, 3-amino pyrazole (4a), K₂OBU
(iii) Ethanol, Re(CO)₅Cl, reflux 60-70 °C

---

**Scheme 1.** Reaction scheme for the synthesis of ligands and rhenium complexes.
(2H, dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 6.4 Hz, H3',5'). 8.60 (1H, s, H2'). 8.45 (2H, dd, J = 11.2 Hz, 8.0 Hz, H7'). 8.3 (2H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H5'). 7.91 (1H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, H1'). 7.72 (3H, m, H3',4',5'). 7.25 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H3). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ/ppm: 203.1 (M-CO, Cquat.), 197.5 (2M-CO, Cquat.), 157.5 (C6a Cquat.), 154.7 (C7 Cquat.), 153.9 (C6α-CH), 149.8 (C6, Cquat.), 149.1 (C6a Cquat.), 147.1 (C4', CH), 140.9 (C3', CH), 132.8 (C35', CH), 131.0 (C40 - CH), 130.0 (C1, Cquat.), 129.4 (C36, -CH), 129.0 (C35, -CH), 127.6 (C36, -CH), 106.1 (C1, -CH), 99.7 (C9, -CH). [Total signal observed = 17: signal of C = 7 (M-CO = 2, p-Cl-phenyl ring–CH = 4, pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine–CH = 3, pyridin ring–C = 1), signal of CH = 11 (pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine–C = 3, pyridine ring–C = 1), signal of CH = 10 (pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine–CH = 3, phenylring–CH = 3, pyridine ring–CH = 4)].

Synthesis of [Re(CO)3(L3)Cl] (III): It was synthesized using ligand (L3) (97 mg, 0.276 mmol). Yield: 77.2%; Color: yellowish amorphous solid; mp 368 °C; Empirical formula: C21H14ClN4O4Re, Elemental analysis: Calc. (%): C, 41.48; H, 2.32; N, 9.21; Re, 30.62; Found. (%): C, 41.45; H, 2.30; N, 9.18; Re, 30.60; Conductance: 15.18 S cm–2 mol–1. 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ/ppm: 9.19 (2H, dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 6.0 Hz, H7'). 8.60 (1H, s, H2'), 8.46 (4H, dd, J = 7.6 Hz, 4.8 Hz, H36,35'), 7.89 (1H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, H3), 7.27 (2H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H5'), 7.22 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H1'), 3.94 (3H, s, -OCH3), 1H NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ/ppm: 195.5 (M-CO, Cquat.), 189.2 (M-2CO, Cquat.), 157.5 (C6a, Cquat.), 154.7 (C23, Cquat.), 153.9 (C45, -CH), 149.3 (C9, Cquat.), 148.6 (C40, Cquat.), 147.2 (C39, -CH), 140.9 (C38, -CH), 137.6 (C3a, Cquat.), 132.8 (C35, -CH), 129.5 (C36, -CH), 129.2 (C35, -CH), 128.7 (C1, Cquat.), 127.5 (C38, -CH), 106.2 (C27, -CH), 99.8 (C4, -CH). [Total signal observed = 18: signal of C = 8 (M-CO = 2, p-Cl-phenyl ring–C = 2, pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine–C = 3, pyridin ring–C = 1), signal of CH = 9 (pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine–CH = 3, p-Cl phenyl ring–CH = 2, pyridine ring–CH = 4)].

Synthesis of [Re(CO)3(L4)Cl] (IV): It was synthesized using ligand (L4) (84 mg, 0.276 mmol). Yield: 76.1%; Color: yellowish amorphous solid; mp 368 °C; Empirical formula: C20H11Cl2N4O3Re, Elemental analysis: Calc. (%): C, 39.22; H, 1.81; N, 9.15; Re, 30.40; Found. (%): C, 39.20; H, 1.78; N, 9.12; Re, 30.36; Conductance: 11.30 S cm–2 mol–1. 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ/ppm: 9.20 (1H, d, J = 3.6 Hz, H2'), 9.01 (1H, d, J = 12.8 Hz, H3'), 8.54 (2H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H35'), 8.44 (1H, s, H7), 7.89 (2H, m, H36,35'), 7.77 (2H, m, H36,35'), 7.68 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H3), 7.27 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H4). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ/ppm: 198.8 (M-CO, Cquat.), 197.6 (2M-CO, Cquat.), 157.6 (C40, Cquat.), 154.5 (C23, Cquat.), 154.02 (C6a, Cquat.), 153.8 (C6, Cquat.), 153.7 (C6, Cquat.), 153.6 (C6, Cquat.), 149.4 (C6, Cquat.), 149.3 (C6, Cquat.), 149.2 (C6, Cquat.), 147.1 (C45, -CH), 140.9 (C3, -CH), 132.7 (C35, -CH), 132.1 (C36, -CH), 130.9 (C35, -CH), 129.5 (C1, Cquat.), 129.06 (C35, -CH), 126.6 (C40, -CH), 106.1 (C7, -CH), 99.82 (C4, -CH). [Total signal observed = 17: signal of C = 8 (M-CO = 2, p-Br-phenyl ring–C = 2, pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine–C = 3, pyridin ring–C = 1), signal of CH = 9 (pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine–CH = 3, p-Br phenyl ring–CH = 2, pyridine ring–CH = 4)].

Synthesis of [Re(CO)3(L5)Cl] (V): It was synthesized using ligand (L5) (84 mg, 0.276 mmol). Yield: 89.7%; Color: yellowish amorphous solid; mp 370 °C; Empirical formula: C20H11Cl4N6O6Re, Elemental analysis: Calc. (%): C, 41.48; H, 2.32; N, 9.15; Re, 30.62; Found. (%): C, 41.45; H, 2.30; N, 9.18; Re, 30.60; Conductance: 15.18 S cm–2 mol–1. 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ/ppm: 9.19 (2H, dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 6.0 Hz, H7'). 8.60 (1H, s, H2'), 8.46 (4H, dd, J = 7.6 Hz, 4.8 Hz, H36,35'), 7.89 (1H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, H3), 7.27 (2H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H3'), 7.22 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H1'), 3.94 (3H, s, -OCH3), 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ/ppm: 199.0 (M-CO, Cquat.), 198.2 (2M-CO, Cquat.), 163.1(C40, Cquat.), 157.2 (C38, Cquat.), 154.8 (C35, Cquat.), 153.8 (C35, -CH), 149.4 (C36, Cquat.), 147.0 (C35, CH), 140.9 (C38, -CH), 133.2 (C36, -CH), 129.4 (C35, -CH), 127.5 (C35, -CH), 123.2(C36, -Cquat.), 121.9 (C40, Cquat.), 114.7 (C38, -CH), 105.0 (C35, -CH), 99.4 (C4, -CH), 56.2 (–OCH3). [Total signal observed = 17: signal of C = 8 (M-CO = 2, p-Cl-phenyl ring–C = 2, pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine–C = 3, pyridin ring–C = 1), signal of CH = 9 (pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine–CH = 3, p-Cl phenyl ring–CH = 2, pyridine ring–CH = 4)].
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served = 18: signal of C = 8 (M-CO = 2, p-OCH3-phenyl ring-C = 2, pyrazol[1,5-a]pyrimidine-C = 3, pyridine ring-C = 1), signal of CH = 9 (pyrazol[1,5-a]pyrimidine-CH = 3, p-OCH3 phenylring-CH = 2, pyridine ring-CH = 4), –OCH3 = 1]; IR (KBr, 4000–400 cm⁻¹): 2021, 1921, 1898 v(Re(CO)), 1551 v(C=N), 1512 (C-H) bending, 1180 v(C-N), 1597 v(C=C) conjugated alkenes, 764 v(Al-H) adjacent hydrogen.

Synthesis of [Re(CO)₃(L⁶)Cl] (VI): It was synthesized using ligand (L⁶) (79 mg, 0.276 mmol). Yield: 84.9%; Color: yellowish amorphous solid; mp 374 °C; Mol. wt.: 592.03 g/mol; Empirical formula: C₉₂H₄₄ClN₄O₃Re; Elemental analysis: C, 42.60; H, 2.38; N, 9.46; Re, 31.45; Found. (%): C, 42.40; H, 2.20; N, 9.35; Re, 31.42; Conductance: 13.25 S cm⁻² mol⁻¹.¹³H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ/ppm: 9.17 (2H, dd, J = 8.0 Hz, H3'), 7.90 (1H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, H3), 7.53 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H2'), 7.23 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H2), 2.49 (3H, s, −CH₃).¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ/ppm: 198.9 (M-CO, Cquat.), 197.7 (2M-CO, Cquat.), 195.2 (C₂, Cquat.), 157.3 (C₈, Cquat.), 154.7 (C₂, Cquat.), 153.8 (C₉₅, −CH), 149.7 (C₉₆, −CH), 149.2 (C₂₅, Cquat.), 147.1 (C₄, −CH), 143.3 (C₁, Cquat.), 140.9 (C₁₀, −CH), 139.0 (C₁₅, −CH), 129.6 (C₂₆, −CH), 129.4 (C₁₇, −CH), 127.5 (C₃₅, −CH), 126.9 (C₁₃, Cquat.), 105.6 (C₇, −CH), 99.54 (C₈, −CH), 21.7 (−CH₃). [Total signal observed = 18: signal of C = 8 (M-CO = 2, p-CH₃-phenyl ring-C = 2, pyrazol[1,5-a]pyrimidine-C = 3, pyridine ring-C = 1), signal of CH = 9 (pyrazol[1,5-a]pyrimidine-CH = 3, p-CH₃phenylring-CH = 2, pyridine ring-CH = 4), –CH₃ = 1]; IR (KBr, 4000–400 cm⁻¹): 2021, 1913 v(Re(CO)), 1551 v(C=N), 1512 (C-H) bending, 1196 v(C-N), 1597 v(C=C) conjugated alkenes, 764 v(Al-H) adjacent hydrogen.

Biological activities:

In vitro antimicrobial assay: The synthesized ligands and complexes were evaluated for their antimicrobial properties according to literature.¹⁷

In vivo brine shrimp lethality bioassay (BSLB): The brine shrimp (Artemia cysts) lethality bioassay for the synthesized compounds were carried out according to literature.¹⁷ ¹⁸

Cellular level bioassay using S. cerevisiae: The in vitro cytotoxicity assay was performed in the eukaryotic system where a yeast cell, S. cerevisiae was taken as a model test organism. The cytotoxic effect of compounds was determined by viability staining and represented as % viability. Lower % viability indicates high toxicity of compound on that particular biological system.

Antiproliferative study: The Re(I) tricarbonyl complexes I–VI were tested for in vitro cytotoxicity against colon carcinoma (HCT116) cancerous cell lines. The MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay was used to determine the cytotoxicity of the compounds.¹⁹ The extent of inhibition is displayed as an IC₅₀ value, which is defined as the concentration required to inhibit cell growth to half.²⁰,²¹ Stock solutions of 10–100 mg/mL of test complexes (I–VI) were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Twenty-four hours after cell plating, media was removed and replaced with fresh media containing 10, 25, 50, 100, 500 μg/mL of test compounds DMSO vehicle control, for the indicated exposure times.

DNA binding activity: Binding of metal complexes with DNA can be understood by absorption spectral analysis of DNA. The binding mode and binding constant (Kb) of a complex toward DNA give an idea about the strength of interaction, which can be obtained by studying UV-Vis absorbance titration.²² The binding constant values were estimated by the following equation,

\[
\frac{[DNA]}{[DNA]} = \frac{[DNA]}{[DNA]} + \frac{1}{K_k(\epsilon_a - \epsilon_f)}
\]

Where, [DNA] = concentration of DNA in base pairs, \(\epsilon_a\) = extinction coefficient observed for the MLCT absorption band at the given DNA concentration, \(\epsilon_b\) = the extinction coefficient of the complex in solution and \(\epsilon_b\) = the extinction coefficient of the complex when fully bound to DNA.

Viscometric experiments were performed using Ubelhode viscometer, maintained at 25.0 ± 0.5 °C in a thermostatic water bath. The total system was 3 mL, containing 100 μM of DNA, and metal complexes were varied from 5 to 50 μM. The flow time of solutions in phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) was recorded, and an average flow time was calculated. Data were presented as \((\eta/\eta_0)^{1/3}\) versus [Compound]/[DNA], where \(\eta\) is the viscosity of DNA in the presence of complex and \(\eta_0\) is the viscosity of DNA alone. All the experiment was done in triplicate. The hydrodynamic length of DNA generally increases upon partial intercalation while it does not lengthen upon groove binding.²³,²⁴

Molecular docking: Docking study was measured for Re(I) complexes with deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequence d(ACCGACGTCGGT)₂. The main purpose of molecular docking is to identify the binding mode of metal complexes using Hex 8.0 software. The detailed process of this study is described in literature.²⁵

Integrity of compounds on the DNA: For DNA integrity of compounds, the treated test organism's DNA subject to Agarose gel electrophoresis. The DNA of S. cerevisiae was extracted according to the protocol described by Michael R. Green and Joseph Sambrook.²⁶ The detailed process is described in literature.²⁷

3. Results and Discussion

¹³C-APT, ¹H-NMR, IR, magnetic moments, conductance measurements, and electronic spectra: The ¹H
NMR spectra of ligands L1–L6 and complexes I–VI demonstrate peak at 6.0 – 8.0 δ ppm confirms protons of pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine aromatic ring. 13C-APT data of ligands L1–L6 and complexes I–VI show signals at 97–160 δ ppm confirm the presence of aromatic environment. The crystal structure of Re(CO)5Cl show four CO at equatorial position, and one CO along with Cl atom at axial position. The heterocyclic bidentate ligand approach from equatorial position and replace two CO molecules to form Re(I) complexes. In keeping with the facial arrangement of the CO ligands, the 13C (APT) NMR spectra show two low-field signals in the range of 189.2–198.2 ppm and 195.5–203.1 ppm for axial and equatorial carbonyl groups of Re(I) complexes, respectively.

Results of the FT-IR spectra of free ligands (L1-L6) show the bands at ~2922 cm⁻¹ ν(=C‐H)ar, and ~1196 cm⁻¹ for –CN stretching of pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine ring. The band ~590–620 cm⁻¹ is observed due to carbon-halogen bond and band at ~977–1062 cm⁻¹ is observed due to the para-substituted benzene ring. The bands at ~1551, and ~1597 cm⁻¹ are assigned to ν(C=N) and ν(C=C) conjugated alkene. In complexes, the ν(Re-N) band are appeared at around 570 – 578 cm⁻¹. The IR spectra of Re(I) complexes exhibit three strong ν(CO) bands in the range of 2020–1898 cm⁻¹. The strong ν(CO) bands centered at 2000 cm⁻¹ suggests expected fac-geometry around the Re metal.

The observed magnetic moment values of rhenium(I) complexes are zero due to absence of unpaired electron i.e. low spin t2g⁶ eg⁰ configuration makes rhenium(I) complexes diamagnetic, and the oxidation state of rhenium is +1 in complexes.

Molar conductance values of all the low spin Re(I) complexes are found in the range of 2.83–19.25 S cm² mol⁻¹. It suggests that the Re(I) complexes are non-ionic and non-electrolytic with absence of any counter ions surrounding the coordination sphere.

The electronic spectra of compounds were recorded in DMSO solution (Figure 1). The ground state for t2g⁶ electronic configuration of rhenium(I) metal ion is ¹A₁g. Three bands are observed in the electronic spectrum: one band ranging in 436.0–442.50 nm region assign to MLCT, second band ranging from 332.5–354.5 nm region attribute to n–π⁺, and third band ranging from 286–296 nm assign to ultra-ligand charge transfer (π–π*). It suggests that Re(I) metal complexes possess octahedral geometry.

Biological applications of synthesized ligands and complexes:

In vitro antimicrobial screening: The data reveals that all the complexes have higher antimicrobial activity than neutral bidentate ligands and a metal salt (Figure 2). The antimicrobial activity of all complexes against different microorganisms is found better than that of the respective ligands are shown in supplementary material 3. The MIC values of the complexes, ligands, and metal salt are observed in the range of 60–90 μM, 280–320 μM, and 2500 μM, respectively. A comparative of antimicrobial activity (MIC) values among all synthesized metal complexes and their ligands in decreasing order are as V > II > IV > VI > III > I > L⁵ > L⁴ > L³ > L¹ > L⁶ > Re(CO)₅Cl for gram positive bacteria, and V > IV > III > I > VI > II > L⁵ > L⁴ > L³ > L¹ > Re(CO)₅Cl for gram negative bacteria. The complex V is the most active amongst all the complexes, due to the presence of the methoxy group to the pyridine ring in pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine ligand.

The presence of a more electronegative environment in complex V and VI improves their biological properties. Two factors are applicable, that are, the ligands bound to metal ions in a multidentate fashion, and the nature of the ligand, for improving MIC values of the synthesized compounds. These may be the main reasons for the diverse antibacterial activity shown by the complexes.
logical activities of these metal compounds depend on the metal ion, its ligands, and the structure of the compounds. These factors are responsible for reaching them at the proper target site in the body. It is known that certain metal ions penetrate into bacteria and inactivate their enzymes, or some metal ions can generate hydrogen peroxide, thus killing bacteria. According to overtone's concept of cell permeability, the lipid membrane that surrounds a cell favours the passage of only lipid soluble materials so that liposolubility is an important factor which contributes to bactericidal activity.34

**Figure 2.** Antibacterial study of ligands and complexes by broth dilution method in terms of MIC in μM.

**Cellular level bioassay using S. cerevisiae:** The *in vitro* cellular level cytotoxicity of ligands L1–L6 and complexes I–VI was found to vary with the type of substituent present in the synthesized complexes. From the results, it was found that, as the concentration of compound increases from 20 μg/mL to 100 μg/mL, cytotoxicity also increases which can be exhibited by decreasing % viability shown in supplementary material 4. The complexes I and II show the maximum cytotoxic effect on cells, while complexes III and IV exhibit moderate cytotoxicity, and complexes V and VI exhibit less cytotoxicity (Figure 3 and 4). The increasing order of % viability of ligands and complexes is L5 < L3 < L6 = L4 < L1 < L2 < V < VI < IV < III < II < I, respectively.

**Figure 3.** Cellular level cytotoxicity of synthesized compounds using S. cerevisiae, dead cells are seen dark whereas live cells are seen transparent.

**In vitro brine shrimp lethality bioassay (BSLB):** This method is reliable, rapid, and economical. A plot of the log of the sample’s concentration versus percentage (%) mortality of brine shrimp larvae showed a linear correlation. These results suggest that the mortality rate of brine shrimp larvae increases with increasing the concentration of the compounds. The synthesized ligands have less mortality rate as compared to the synthesized complexes. The increasing mortality rate of ligands (LC50) and complexes (LC50) is L1 (19.95) < L3 (17.96) < L5 (17.83) < L4 (16.00) < L2 (11.95) < L6 (9.84) < II (9.78) = V (8.03) < III (7.96) < V (8.03) < I (7.96)

**Figure 4.** Effect of compounds on S. cerevisiae cells as increasing concentration.
< VI (4.01) < IV (3.98). The LC50 values of the compounds are shown in brackets in µg/mL. Complex IV is the most potent amongst all the compounds.

**DNA binding activities:** Binding of metal complexes with DNA via intercalation generally results in hypochromism and a redshift (bathochromism) in the absorption band. Complex IV is the most potent amongst all the compounds. The observed result shows that upon successive addition of DNA (100 µL) at every 10 minutes time interval, a decrease in absorption intensity (hypochromism) and small redshift (1–6 nm) was observed (Figure 5). It suggests that all synthesized complexes show groove binding, which was also confirmed by viscosity measurement and molecular docking. The organic antitumor drug netropsin has to bind within the DNA minor groove. The drug is held in place by amide hydrogen bonds to adenine N-3 and thymine O-2 atoms.38

The binding constant (Kb) values estimated from the ratio of the slope to the intercept ratio. The absorption spectral changes were monitored at around 273–296 nm for the investigation of the DNA binding mode and strength. As the DNA concentration was increased, the transition bands of the complexes I-VI exhibited hypochromicity [hypochromicity, H% = [(Afree − Abound)/Afree] × 100%] of about 11.0–40.5%, and bathochromicity of 1–6 nm. The complex IV and the ligand L4 have the highest percentage hypochromicity (IV–28.5%, L4–40.5%). The Gibb’s free energies of the synthesized compounds are found negative values in the range of −34.30 to −42.20 kJ mol−1 (Table 1). The negative value of Gibbs free energy change (ΔG°) reveals that the binding process is spontaneous.

Viscosity measurement was carried out on DNA by varying the concentration of the added Re(I) complex to get an idea of the binding mode. Groove binding typically causes less pronounced or only a minor change in the viscosity. The values of relative specific viscosity (η/η0)1/3 are the specific viscosities of DNA in the presence and absence of the Re(I)complex are plotted against [Re(I)complex]/[DNA] in Figure 6. The decreasing order of the (η/η0)1/3 to the DNA is III > VI > II > IV > V > I > L6 > L5 > L4 > L1 > L2 > L3, which parallels the DNA binding affinity. The increase in viscosity, observed in the presence of I-VI is small compared to the classical DNA intercalator EtBr.40 Similar enhancement in viscosity has been observed for DNA groove binding simple and mixed ligand Fe(II) and Ru(II) complexes containing 5,6-dmp (5,6-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline) as a co-ligand.41,42 The enhancement in viscosity observed in the present study is

![Figure 5. UV-Vis absorption spectral changes on the addition of HS DNA to the solution of complex (ligand L1 and complex I).](image)

### Table 1 Binding constant (Kb), percentage hypochromicity (%H), bathochromicity (Δλ), and Gibbs free energy (ΔG°) values of free ligands and synthesized complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>λmax (nm)</th>
<th>aΔλ(nm)</th>
<th>bKb (M−1)× 105</th>
<th>cH%</th>
<th>dΔG° (Jmol−1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Bound</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Δλ = Difference between bound wavelength and free wavelength; b Kb = Intrinsic DNA binding constant determined from the UV–visible absorption spectral titration; c H% = [(Afree − Abound)/Afree] × 100%; d ΔG° = Change in Gibbs free energy
ligand inside the DNA groove.\textsuperscript{44, 45} The complexes and ligands are shown by the ball and stick model and DNA base pair shown by the VDW sphere using Hex 8.0 software shown in supplementary material 5. Structure of ligands and complexes were drawn in .CDX format using ChemBioDraw Ultra 14.0 then converted to PDB format using Chem3D (Cambridge Soft). For docking studies, the structural coordinates of DNA were obtained from the protein data bank (pdb id: 423D).\textsuperscript{46} Figure 7 shows that Re(I) complexes bind with the base pair A–T, C–G, G–C, A–T (B-DNA) minor grooves of the DNA. The energy of the docked structure (I–VI and L\textsuperscript{1–L\textsuperscript{6}}) is –279.72, –280.28, –283.51, –288.34, –278.84, –281.34, and –233.32, –254.18, –253.77, –251.48, –230.31 kJ/mol. The increasing order of energy is L\textsuperscript{6} < L\textsuperscript{1} < L\textsuperscript{5} < L\textsuperscript{4} < L\textsuperscript{3} < L\textsuperscript{2} < V < I < II < VI < III < IV.

Effect of compounds on the integrity of DNA of S. cerevisiae cells: To determine the DNA damaging potential of the compounds a characteristic picture of comets was observed when yeast cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of compounds, increasing in smearing was observed. Agarose gel electrophoresis is a convenient method to assess the cleavage of DNA by metal-based drugs,\textsuperscript{47} to determine the factors affecting the nucleolytic efficiency of a compound, and to compare the nucleolytic properties of different compounds. Figure 8 shows the electrophoretic separation of S. cerevisiae DNA when reacted with compounds under aerobic conditions. These clearly show that the relative binding efficacy of the complexes to DNA is much higher than the binding efficacy of pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine ligands. The difference in the DNA-cleavage efficiency of the complexes and ligands is due to the difference in binding affinity of the ligands and complexes to the DNA. In Figure 8 ligands show lesser smearing as compared to the complexes. It suggests that the cleavage efficiency of DNA is higher in the presence of complexes than the ligands. Complexes III, IV and VI show better cleavage effect of DNA, complex II shows...
moderate cleavage effect of DNA, and complexes I and IV show lesser cleavage effect of DNA.

**Antiproliferative study:** Metal carbonyls as anticancer drugs in clinical and pharmaceutical trials have wide scope because of its good solubility, and carbonyl releasing ability in the biological system. The synthesized complexes tested as MTT assay using HCT 116 cell line (Supplementary material 6). As the concentration increases the % cell proliferation is decreases means inhibit the tumor cells. The increasing order of IC_{50} values is III > carboplatin > I > oxaliplatin > II > cisplatin > IV = V = VI. Above 500 µg/mL concentration solution becomes turbid, coloration, and visibility not seen properly, from these, we can conclude that below 500 µg/mL concentration, all synthesized complexes gives good anticancer activity. The IC_{50} value of synthesised complex (I-VI) and standard drugs like cisplatin, carboplatin, oxaliplatin is 44.66 µg/mL, 20.50 µg/mL, >500 µg/mL, <10 µg/mL, <10 µg/mL, <10 µg/mL, 15.49 µg/mL, >111.37 µg/mL, and 22.66 µg/mL, respectively. The complexes IV, V, and VI are most cytotoxic than other complexes and standard drugs. The approach of metal complexes having carbon monoxide (CO) and heterocyclic compound with three to four bond distance presence of hetero atom chelated with rhenium metal is promising in terms of enhancing anticancer activity.

**4. Conclusion**

A series of substituted pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine nucleus based organometallic rhenium(I) complexes were synthesized and characterized, in search of new organometallic complexes with better antibacterial, cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, DNA binding, and DNA cleavage study. The synthesis was carried out by pentacarbonyl chloro rhenium(I) as a starting material. The spectral and analytical data are in good agreement with the proposed structure and revealed the octahedral geometry, and non-electrolytic nature of complexes. Re(I) compounds treatment to Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast cells induced genotoxicity and changes in the conformation of cell DNA. DNA binding study was carried out by absorption titration, viscosity measurement, and molecular modelling. Binding constant (K_b) values of complexes were higher than the ligands, and the studies showed groove mode of DNA binding. There was a minor change in the relative specific viscosity (\(\eta/\eta_0\))^{1/3} (\(\eta\) and \(\eta_0\) are the specific viscosities) of DNA in presence and absence of the Re(I)complex, which supports absorption spectroscopy titration data of groove mode of DNA binding. In molecular modelling, docking energies of complexes were observed higher than the ligands. The presence of a more electronegative environment improves the antibacterial activity of complexes than ligands. The increasing order of LC_{50} values evaluated by brine shrimp lethality bioassay is L_1 < L_3 < L_5 < L_4 < L_2 < II < III = V < I < VI < IV. All the complexes show potent *in vitro* cytotoxicity in cellular level bioassay compared to free ligands.
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Sintetizirali smo nevtralne komplekse renija(I) tipa \([\text{ReCl(CO)}_3\text{Ln}]\) \(L^1 = 7\text{-fenil-5-(piridin-2-il)pirazolo[1,5-a]pirimidin,}\)
\(L^2 = 7\text{-}(4\text{-bromofenil})\text{-5-(piridin-2-il)pirazolo[1,5-a]pirimidin,}\)
\(L^3 = 7\text{-}(4\text{-klorofenil})\text{-5-(piridin-2-il)pirazolo[1,5-a]pirimidin,}\)
\(L^4 = 7\text{-}(2\text{-klorofenil})\text{-5-(piridin-2-il)pirazolo[1,5-a]pirimidin,}\)
\(L^5 = 7\text{-}(4\text{-metoksifenil})\text{-5-(piridin-2-il)pirazolo[1,5-a]pirimidin,}\)
\(L^6 = 5\text{-}(piridin-2-il)\text{-7-(p-tolil)pirazolo[1,5-a]pirimidin}\) in jih karakterizirali s \(^{13}\text{C}\)-APT, \(^1\text{H}\)-NMR,
IR, meritvami elektronskih spektrov, magnetnimi meritvami in meritvijo predvodnosti. Anti-proliferativna aktivnost merjena na celicah HCT116 z metodo MTT nakazuje na močno citotoksično delovanje kompleksov, ki pri nekaterih presega celo aktivnost standardnih učinkovin kot so cisplatina, oksaliplatina in karboplatina. Antimikrobno delovanje kompleksov je večje kot pri pirazolo pirimidinskih ligandih. Teoretične študije interakcij med novimi spojinami in DNK smo preučevali z metodo molekularnega priklapljanja. Vrednost interakcij DNK-kompleks je med \(-230.31\) in \(-288.34\) kJ/mol. Vrednosti veznih konstant za komplekse \((1.1–3.5 \times 10^5 \text{M}^{-1})\) so višje od vrednosti za ustrezne ligande \((0.32–1.8 \times 10^5 \text{M}^{-1})\).