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Abstract

Fluorine is in the form of fluorides ubiquitous in nature and as such an inevitable part of our environment. In small amounts, it is known to have beneficial effects on dental health. On the other hand, excessive chronic intakes can result in adverse effects including the development of dental fluorosis in children and/or skeletal fluorosis in both children and adults. The adequate intake has been set, based on empirical observations, at 0.05 mg/day/kg body weight however, the threshold between beneficial and harmful effects is narrow. Despite numerous studies, knowledge on the fluoride toxicity is still relatively poor. In this review, the role and the effects of fluoride on human health are enlightened. Some of the fluoride controversies are discussed and future research directions suggested.
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1. Introduction

Fluorine is chemically most reactive of all the elements and is never or rarely encountered in nature as elemental fluorine. Two extremely rare exceptions where fluorine as gas could be trapped within the crystal of antozonite¹ and villiaumite² were recently reported.

In combination with other elements, it comprises 0.065% of the earth’s crust, being the thirteenth element in abundance on the planet.³ A brief note on terminology is in order before proceeding. In this paper, the term fluorine (F) is used to denote the element in any of its forms and fluoride (F⁻) to denote the predominant chemical form of inorganic fluoride in which the element is found in nature and to which a fluoride ion selective electrode (ISE) responds.

Fluoride is an inevitable part of the biosphere and human life. The average F contents in soil range from about 100–600 mg/kg⁴,⁵ from which about 0.05–0.5% represents water soluble fluorides.⁶,⁷ Natural waters contain fluoride in varying concentrations, from trace amounts to some mg/l and even toxic concentrations. Waters with high concentrations of fluoride are usually found at the foot of high mountains and in areas with geological deposits of marine origin. The highest fluoride levels have been recorded in the Kenyan lakes Elementaita (1640 mg/l) and Nakuru (2800 mg/l).⁸ Fluoride concentrations in ambient air are generally lower than 1 µg/m³.⁹

The F is released into the air in a form of gaseous or particulate fluorides from natural sources, like dissolution of minerals, volcanoes, marine aerosols, and forest fires¹⁰,¹¹ and from different industrial activities, like phosphate fertilizers and elemental phosphorus production, aluminium smelting, petroleum refining, glass, brick, and ceramic manufacturing.¹² Combustion of the coal and fuel and controlled fluoridation of drinking-water supplies also contribute to the fluoride dispersion.¹³,¹⁴ Use of organofluorine compounds serving as pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, refrigerants, pesticides, surfactants, fire extinguishing agents, fibers, membranes, ozone depletors, and insulating materials is in increase. Over 20% of approved pharmaceutical agents including several of the top drugs and 30–40% of commercially available agrochemicals are organofluorine compounds.¹⁵,¹⁶ All these processes and uses result in accumulation of fluoride compounds in soils, surface waters and groundwater reserves, air, and in the living organisms.

The effect of fluoride on humans is a dual one. For over eight decades, it has been recognized that small amounts of fluoride have proven benefits for dental health. This resulted in widespread use of fluorides in public health practices.¹⁷ Continuous exposure to high levels, on the other hand, leads to severe adverse effects in humans, which include the development of dental fluoro-
Fluoride in Human Health and Nutrition

Fluoride is mainly associated with calcified tissue, i.e. teeth and bone which are its primary targets. Beneficial effects of fluoride on dental health and on the increase of bone mineral content were suggested.

2. 1. Effects on Dental Health

Until the 1980’s the paradigm was that, to exert its maximum cariostatic effect, fluoride had to become incorporated into dental enamel during teeth development by forming hydroxyxfluorapatite, which is more resistant to ingested acids or to acids generated by oral bacteria from ingested sugars.37

The new paradigm, which surprisingly slowly modified fluoride caries research, was introduced in 1981.38 It explains that fluoride controls caries lesion development predominantly, if not entirely, via its topical effect on des- and re-mineralization processes taking place at the interface between the tooth surface and the oral fluids.38,39 Thus, topical use of fluoride is recommended, rather than systemic. Fluoride also interferes with the metabolism of oral microbial cells by a direct inhibition of cellular enzymes (directly or in combination with metals) or enhancing proton permeability of cell membranes in the form of hydrogen fluoride (HF).40,41

2. 2. Osteoporosis Treatment

Since the 1960s, fluoride at high dose levels (approximately 20–30 mg/day) has been used to treat age-dependent osteoporosis.12 Such treatment is currently not recommended. Although it may increase bone mass, the newly formed bone may lack normal structure and strength.42–44 The effect is more apparent in trabecular bone where volume and thickness is increased but without a concomitant increase in trabecular connectivity resulting in reduced bone quality.45

2. 3. Other Functions

No average requirement (AR) of fluoride for the performance of essential physiological functions can be defined, i.e. fluoride is not essential for tooth development and has no known essential function in human growth and development.22 A statement that “no fluoride deficiency disease has ever been documented for humans”46 is controversial. An experimental diet completely free of fluoride, capable of provoking fluoride deficiency, is difficult to obtain.23 It is also difficult to prove that it is free of fluoride, because of methodological and analytical problems in determining fluorine at low levels (see also Section Fluorine analytical methods).23

Figure 1: The adequate intake of fluoride in relation to its beneficial/adverse effects and the main sources of fluoride for humans

Fluoride remains a subject of debate, time and time again, especially in view of the current knowledge on its possible adverse effects. The aim of this paper was twofold: first to provide the adequate background information on fluoride, which would assist in understanding its role and effects on humans, and, second, to present some of the analytical problems associated with the determination of fluoride at low levels as present in human and environmental samples. In addition, some of the fluoride controversies related to the intake of fluoride by humans and its impact on health were discussed.

2. Beneficial Effects of Fluoride on Human Health

Fluoride in the body is mainly associated with calcified tissue, i.e. teeth and bone which are its primary targets. Beneficial effects of fluoride on dental health and on the increase of bone mineral content were suggested.

3. Adverse Effects of Fluoride

The terms adverse effects and side effects are often used interchangeably although their meaning is different.
Adverse effects are unintended effects that occur when a medication is administered correctly while a side effect is a secondary unwanted effect that occurs due to drug therapy. Side effects are most often mild in nature and often self resolving but adverse effects can be fatal and need to be reversed or antidote immediately. Adverse effects reduce either by reducing the dose of the medicines or by stopping the administration of the drug altogether. There are many fluoride related adverse effects. Their symptoms are nonspecific and are very much similar to the adverse and/or side effects of many other drugs, vitamins, minerals or dietary supplements. We should be therefore not surprised if fluoride related adverse effects are ascribed to other causes than fluoride.

3. 1. Symptoms of Fluoride Toxicity

The toxicity of fluorides is due to the toxicity of the fluoride ion, a direct cellular poison that binds calcium and interferes with the activity of proteolytic and glycolytic enzymes. As such, the toxicity of fluoride depends on the type of compound ingested. Generally, weakly soluble or insoluble salts of inorganic fluorides, such as calcium fluoride, are less toxic than those that are more soluble, such as sodium fluoride.

3. 1. 1. Acute Exposure

Ingested fluoride forms hydrofluoric acid at a pH typical of gastric juice. Acute high oral exposure to fluoride may lead to (with increased seriousness of observed symptoms) nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, diarrhea, drowsiness, headaches, polyuria and polydipsia, coma, convulsions cardiac arrest, muscle paralysis, carpopedal spasm, spasm of the extremities occurred and death. The most frequently cited range for the certainly lethal dose (CLD) of sodium fluoride is based on a review of case reports prepared by Hodge and Smith. It is set between 32 and 64 mg/kg body weight, which corresponds to 5–10 g of sodium fluoride for a 70 kg person. The probable toxic dose (PTD) for children, defined as the dose of ingested fluoride that should trigger immediate therapeutic intervention and hospitalization, because of the likelihood of serious toxic consequences, is set at 5.0 mg/kg body weight. Contact of liquid HF with the skin can produce severe burns; the gas is corrosive to the eyes and mucous membranes of the respiratory tract. The basis of the treatment of fluoride poisoning is intravenous or intramuscular calcium therapy.

3. 1. 2. Chronic Exposure

Development of dental fluorosis in children and/or skeletal fluorosis in both children and adults are the most obvious adverse effects associated with chronic excessive fluoride intakes. Both are an ancient problem because human settled since ever in areas having high concentrations of fluoride in drinking water. A threshold of 0.03 mg/day/kg body weight has been suggested for the appearance of dental fluorosis however even this intake will result in a certain, although low, level of fluorosis in a population.

Enamel fluorosis and primary dentin fluorosis would begin with the lower incisors, which complete mineralization at approximately 2–3 years of age, and end after mineralization of the third molars. Development of dental fluorosis has been controversial at times – on the one hand it is considered as cosmetic and, on the other, as having an adverse effect. The early symptoms of skeletal fluorosis include stiffness and pain in the joints. In severe cases, the bone structure may change and ligaments may calcify, with resulting impairment of muscles and pain. Constriction of vertebral canal and intervertebral foramen exerts pressure on nerves, blood vessels leading to paralysis and pain.

A review paper in which fluoride has been linked to causing neurodevelopmental harm, has been a subject of debates. Recent research however evidenced, that children living in fluorosis prevalent areas have five times higher chances of developing a low intelligence quotient (IQ) than those living in less fluorosis areas and that IQ level was negatively correlated with fluoride concentration level in drinking water. Higher prenatal fluoride exposure was associated with lower scores on tests of cognitive function.

Earlier studies suggested a protective effect of fluoride against Alzheimer’s disease. This is in contrast to the later studies. The greatest impairments of structure and function may come about through the actions of charged Al–F complexes. These complexes may cross the blood brain barrier and accumulate in the brain thus inducing brain neurotoxicity. Many other associations between increased fluoride concentrations in fluoridated drinking water and possible adverse effects were suggested, e.g., decreased total fertility rate in both females and males, diabetes and greater impairment of thyroid function.

3. 2. Mechanisms of Fluoride Toxicity

Fluoride exerts different effects on the cell machinery leading to cell death, apoptosis and/or necrosis both in vivo and in vitro. Necrosis has been observed as a primary mechanism of cell death after a short exposure (= 1 h) to fluoride at relatively high concentrations (≈ 100 µM). At relatively lower concentrations (around few mM) different molecular mechanisms lead to fluoride-induced cytotoxicity and eventual apoptotic cell death of different cells from different organs and tissues, e.g. lungs, kidneys, liver, brain, pancreas, thymus, endometrium, bone marrow, hair follicles, erythrocytes, leukemic cells. The molecular mechanisms underlying fluoride-induced apoptosis are
different by nature and include the stimulation of G protein-dependent signaling systems, oxidative stress, ATP depletion, activation of the cell surface death receptors, disruption of outer mitochondria membrane, activation of caspases, alterations in the ratio of anti-apoptotic-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins, upregulation of p53 expression, expression of apoptosis-related genes, endoplasmic reticulum stress and disturbances in protein synthesis. Reviews on the intracellular molecular mechanisms proven to be responsible for cytotoxicity and development of cell death induced by inorganic fluoride were recently reported.19,20

4. Absorption, Metabolism, Distribution and Excretion of Fluoride

In humans, the predominant route of fluoride absorption is via the gastrointestinal tract. Except for occupational exposure or exposure to fluoride by coal or fuel burning, exposure to fluoride by inhalation is negligible.14,76 Dermal absorption is insignificant except in cases of hydrofluoric acid burns.77

When ionic fluoride enters the acidic environment of the stomach lumen, it is largely converted to weak acid hydrogen fluoride with a $pK_a$ of 3.19.3 The higher acidity of the stomach speeds up the process of absorption by passive diffusion.78 The coefficient of permeability of lipid bilayer membranes to HF is 1 million times higher than that of F–79 so there is no need for specialized enzymatic systems to be involved.80 Around 70–75% of fluoride not absorbed from the stomach will be rapidly absorbed from the small intestine in a pH-independent process.81,82

Factors like bioavailability, amount of ingested food, emptying the stomach, the presence of the bile salts, concentrations of pepsin and pancreatin all affect the absorption from the stomach. Bioavailability (absorption and utilization) is the most important among them. Bioavailability is a measure of the amount of an administered dose that reaches the blood stream. It is 100%, by definition, when a medication is administered intravenously.

The bioavailability of fluoride from sodium fluoride (NaF) tablets, as used in many caries-prevention programmes, from a fasting stomach, is almost 100%.83 It is also high from other soluble fluoride compounds that occur naturally or are added to drinking water and yield fluoride ions on dissolution, e.g. KF, Na$_2$SiF$_6$, H$_2$SiF$_6$.84 The degree of fluoride absorption is highly affected by the presence of diet containing high contents of calcium and certain other divalent (Mg$^{2+}$) or trivalent (Al$^{3+}$, Fe$^{3+}$) cations with which fluoride can form insoluble or poorly soluble compounds.85 In studies on adults, this bioavailability was decreased to 50–79% by co-administration of milk or calcium rich products.86,87 The poor fluoride bioavailability, in the range of 4–24%, observed from food such as bone meal, fish bone meal, canned sardines and chicken bone meal, was ascribed to the high content of calcium in these foods.88 Fluoride bioavailability from typical meals eaten in different regions of India was found to be low (2–32%).89

The halftime for fluoride absorption is approximately 30 minutes and peak plasma concentration usually occurs within 30–60 minutes.83,87–91 Fluoride is then rapidly distributed in plasma and deposited in bone and other calcified tissues containing approximately 99% of the body’s fluoride.92 The remainder of fluoride is distributed between blood and soft tissues, where a steady-state distribution between extracellular and intracellular fluids is established.92

In adults, about 50% of daily fluoride intake is associated with the calcified tissues within 24 hours and the remaining 50% is excreted in urine.93 This 50:50 distribution is strongly shifted to greater retention in the very early and probably towards greater excretion in the later years of life.93 In adults, about 40–60%94 of the daily intake of fluoride is excreted in faeces,96,97 It was estimated that 1% or less of an ingested dose is excreted in saliva, which returns back to systemic circulation.98 Sweat provides only a minor route of fluoride excretion.80

General features of fluoride metabolism (fluoride flow through the organism) are schematically presented in Figure 2.

5. Biomarkers of Fluoride Exposure

Identifying and monitoring the human exposure to fluoride is of especial importance in children at the age of risk for development of dental fluorosis and in populations exposed to fluoride, i.e. with tea, via occupational exposure, in areas of the world with endemic fluorosis.
Only absorbed fluoride is involved in the development of fluoride related adverse effects. Identification and monitoring deficient or excessive intakes of biologically available fluoride can be achieved through the use of biomarkers of fluoride exposure.99

According to the US National Academy of Sciences (US NAS)100 definition, accepted for fluoride also by the World Health Organization (WHO),101 biomarkers are defined in a broad sense to include almost any measurement reflecting an interaction between a biological system and a potential hazard, which may be chemical, physical or biological. The measured response may be functional and physiological, biochemical at the cellular level, or a molecular interaction. For fluoride, several biological fluids and tissues can serve as biomarkers, which can be according to time-perspective classification classified as: (1) contemporary; (2) recent; and (3) historical markers.101 Extensive information on biomarkers of exposure to fluoride can be found in recent reviews.102–105 In this section, only a concise information will be presented.

5. 1. Contemporary Markers

The concentrations of fluoride in body fluids (plasma, saliva, urine, milk, sweat) and body tissues (bone surface) were all suggested to assess present or very recent exposure to fluoride. Their fluoride content depends on the intake via water, diet, fluoride supplements and fluoride-containing dentifrices. Fluoride ion does not produce any metabolites, so it is itself the measured indicator.

Both ionic and non-ionic fluoride forms exist in plasma. The ionic fluoride form is of far greater significance when evaluating the effect of fluoride on human's health.106,107 For less than optimal water fluoride concentrations, the resting ionic fluoride concentrations in plasma ranged from 9.3 to 24.0 ng/ml,102 however, the data across various age groups enabling to determine the “usual” concentrations are insufficient.108

Urine is regarded as the most suitable biomarker for predicting fluoride intake for groups of people, but not the individuals.109 Using available data it was estimated that the ratio between the excretion and the intake of fluoride is 0.35 and 0.54 in children and adults, respectively above a threshold of total daily intake of 0.5 and 2 mg/day, respectively.95

The whole saliva tends to be contaminated by fluoride from the oral environment. Saliva is therefore usually collected from parotid and submandibular/sublingual ducts. Fluoride concentrations in saliva closely follow the plasma concentration but at a lower level. In adults, the ratios of saliva to plasma fluoride concentrations, under resting conditions, varied from 0.32–0.55 for parotid saliva110 and from 0.61 to 0.88 for submandibular saliva.98 In the same series of experiments, the ratio for fluoride concentrations in whole saliva and plasma was 1.10.111 The data on a normal range of fluoride concentrations in ductal saliva as a basis for recommending saliva as a marker of fluoride exposure are however insufficient.102

Data on fluoride contents in surface bone, sweat and human milk are rather limited and seem unsuitable for estimating contemporary exposure of humans to fluoride.102

5. 2. Recent Markers

In contrast to contemporary markers, whose fluoride concentrations provide a snapshot at a certain point of time and are subject to change due to recent fluoride intake and certain physiological variables, the concentration of fluoride in nails and hair is cumulative. It reflects the average level of intake over a time period taking into account their growth rate. Their major advantage over fluids and tissues as biomarkers for fluoride exposure is that they can easily be obtained in a non-invasive manner. The main issues related to fluoride in nails or hair, as biomarkers seem to be a preparation of the sample for the analysis and high possibility of external contamination. The content of fluoride in fingernails or toenails is about 0.5–5 μg/g112 and in hair about 0.2–15 μg/g.113 Extremely higher values in both nails and hair were also reported in populations occupationally exposed to fluoride or populations living in fluoride endemic areas.112–115

5. 3. Historical Markers

The main historical biomarkers that could indicate total fluoride body burden are a non-exchangeable inner compartment of bone and dentin.102,103 Inner bone and dentin both increase with age due to continuous fluoride uptake throughout life.106,116 In contrast, bulk enamel fluoride concentrations mainly reflect the level of systemic exposure to fluoride during tooth formation.93 Bone sampling is next to ethical limitations, difficult and invasive. The X-ray screening for increased bone density could be performed, however only when the need for information justifies the radiation dose involved. In addition, bone density might not be related only to fluoride exposure or to bone fluorine content. Thus, teeth in particular third molars or premolars that are commonly extracted have emerged as potential historical biomarkers of exposure to fluoride.

6. Fluorin(d)e Analytical Methods

Fluoride of high concentrations in water or soil and gaseous fluoride emissions, which are all a consequence of natural or anthropogenic activities, represent a threat to vegetation and subsequently entire food chain in many parts of the world. Reliable analytical methods are therefore a prerequisite for the determination of human exposure to fluoride. The goal is to monitor the intake of fluoride and maintain it at adequate levels so that optimal protection against dental caries is achieved, without excessive intake.
resulting in the appearance of adverse effects. Basic requirements for accurate and precise determination of fluorine in any type of the sample are: (1) the sample has to be appropriately pre-treated so that the required form can be determined; (2) interfering reactions or ions have to be effectively suppressed; (3) the final concentration of fluorine must be above the detection limit of the method; and (4) the result should be reported together with a measurement uncertainty (MU) evaluated in accordance Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM).117,117

6. 1. Forms of Fluorine

As noticed in the Introduction of this paper, the term fluorine (F) is used to denote the element in any of its forms and fluoride (F\(^-\)) to denote predominant chemical form inorganic fluoride in which the element is found in nature and to which a fluoride ion selective electrode (ISE) responds. Difference between F and F\(^-\) in inorganic compounds, which leads to the amount of bound fluoride was discussed.118

In biological samples such as human and animal serum fluorine can be present also in the form of organic fluorine. This represents covalent fluorine that is bound to carbon in all organic fluorine compounds and results from exposure to certain fluoride-containing compounds from natural and/or industrial sources.119 Classification of different forms of fluoride in biological materials was extensively discussed.120–122

6. 2. Sample pre-treatment Procedures

The approach to sample preparation is dependent on the type of matrix and the form of fluorine to be determined. Fluorine in samples can be determined as ionic, total or covalent fluorine.

6. 2. 1. Ionic Fluoride

The concentration of fluoride in water can usually be determined directly without pre-treatment. Dissolution in water prior to fluoride measurement is a valid approach also for determination of soluble fluorides in solid samples. During interpretation of the results it is necessary to consider equilibria between F\(^-\) and ions with which fluoride forms soluble or poorly soluble compounds, in relation to the employed analytical technique, e.g. Al\(^3+\), Fe\(^3+\), Si\(^4+\), Sn\(^4+\), Zr\(^4+\).85

Methods for determining gaseous fluorides are generally based on the absorption of gaseous fluorides into a train of absorbing solutions, or collection on a filter with a treated pad and subsequent determination of fluoride.

6. 2. 2. Total Fluorine

Samples containing non-ionic inorganic fluoride and/or organic fluorine have to be decomposed prior to the measurement. Extraction or digestion with acids or mixtures of acids or digestion with alkaline solutions might dissolve the F in the samples only to varying degrees. Results of such studies are difficult or even impossible to compare, especially because there is no certified reference material (CRM) available to support the results of such measurements. Therefore, prior to quantification, samples must undergo a total decomposition to assure release of the inorganic or organic bound F to F\(^-\) and bring the solid sample in a water soluble form.

In the classical Willard–Winter\(^\text{123}\) distillation procedure introduced in 1933, decomposition of compounds and separation of resulting fluoride from interfering substances take place concurrently. This technique and its modifications\(^\text{124–126}\) have provided a wealth of information through the middle decades of the 20\(^\text{th}\) century but, mostly because of its cumbersome procedure, have been replaced by simpler methods, like pyrohydrolysis\(^\text{127,128}\) or diffusion methods.\(^\text{129–131}\) Commonly used procedures nowadays for total sample decomposition involve oxygen bomb combustion,\(^\text{132,133}\) open ashing\(^\text{134,135}\) fusion with alkali metal hydroxides or carbonates\(^\text{136,137}\) and microwave acid digestion.\(^\text{138,139}\)

Reactions proceeding during decomposition of metal fluorides by pyrohydrolysis, oxygen bomb combustion and alkaline carbonate fusion and their related thermochemistry were discussed in our recent papers.\(^\text{140,141}\) Calcium and magnesium fluoride, which can be in variable amounts present also in human, animal, vegetation and other environmental samples, were suggested as the most resistant ones to decompose among all the metal fluorides studied.

6. 2. 3. Covalent Fluorine

The basis for determination of organic fluorine in biological materials such as blood serum and soft tissues rely on the determination of total F with respect to F\(^-\) using total decomposition methods. As a faster alternative for sample preparation use of sodium biphenyl was described.\(^\text{142}\) The method relies on reductive cleavage of the C–F bond, which is the strongest bond in organic chemistry.\(^\text{143,144}\)

6. 3. Methods for Determination of Fluoride

The methods for determination of F\(^-\) range from classical volumetric\(^\text{123–125}\) to spectrophotometric\(^\text{125,126}\) and fluorometric\(^\text{145,146}\) methods. A breakthrough in the analytical chemistry of fluorine was in 1966 when the fluoride ion selective electrode (ISE) was introduced by Frant and Ross.\(^\text{147}\) The electrode consists of a single crystal lanthanum fluoride (LaF\(_3\)) doped with europium (II) fluoride to improve its conductivity. The electrode is highly selective and covers a wide range of concentrations (1 mol/l to 10\(^{-5}\) mol/l). The limit of detection of fluoride ISE is limited by
the solubility of LaF$_3$ to $\approx 0.02$ mg/L.\textsuperscript{148} In our laboratory we developed a multiple known standard addition method for determination of fluoride based on spiking the samples with known amount of fluoride prior to the measurement. In this way the limit of detection (LOD) of $\approx 0.01$ mg/l was achieved.\textsuperscript{149}

Various chromatographic methods for fluoride determination include ion chromatography (IC),\textsuperscript{150} gas chromatography (GC),\textsuperscript{151,152} and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).\textsuperscript{153} The main issues related to the use of IC are that fluoride peak tends to overlap with the water negative dip and that monocarboxylic acids interfere because of co-elution with fluoride or only partial resolution. This makes IC suitable for determination of F$^-$ in aqueous samples.\textsuperscript{154–157} Use of GC has been reported for determination of fluoride in various kinds of biological matrixes however it is time consuming and requires trained personnel.\textsuperscript{158} Use of HPLC with reverse-phase mode columns for determination of fluoride is rarely reported.\textsuperscript{153}

Determination of fluoride by atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS), laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS), inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP–OES), or inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP–MS) is almost impossible task because of high excitation and ionization potential (17.42 eV) of F and the location of its resonance line in the vacuum ultraviolet range (95 nm).\textsuperscript{159} Use of molecular absorption spectrometry (MAS) methods with high-resolution continuum source (HR–CS) to determine fluoride through the absorption of diatomic molecules, such as CaF or GaF was reviewed.\textsuperscript{160} Its major limitation is that online coupling with chromatographic separation methods is not possible. Use of mass-shift strategy using tandem inductively coupled plasma quadrupole mass spectrometry (ICP–MS–MS) was reported for the determination of fluorine.\textsuperscript{161}

Less frequently used methods for determination of fluorine include polarography,\textsuperscript{162} voltammetry,\textsuperscript{163} sensors,\textsuperscript{164} capillary zone electrophoresis (CZE),\textsuperscript{165} near infrared spectroscopy (NIR),\textsuperscript{166} $^{19}$F-nuclear magnetic resonance ($^{19}$F-NMR),\textsuperscript{167} neutron activation analysis (NAA)\textsuperscript{168} and others.

6. 4. Measurement Uncertainty Evaluation

A truly informed decision, based on a measurement result, can only be made with the consideration of the MU.\textsuperscript{169} Classically in analytical chemistry, the MU has been expressed as one standard deviation, thus including only random effects. A superior, more comprehensive approach is outlined in the definite document in the field of metrology GUM.\textsuperscript{170} In this approach, in addition to random effects, also systematic effects are taken into account and all uncertainty components of each step of analytical procedure are identified, quantified and traceable\textsuperscript{169} to the SI units. All these contributors are then combined and the MU is reported as an expanded uncertainty, which corresponds to the 95% level of confidence.\textsuperscript{171}

Unfortunately, the only paper reporting procedure for evaluation of the MU according to the GUM for F is on determination of fluoride in water.\textsuperscript{170} Recently we suggested a procedure for the MU evaluation for determination of F in solid samples, which must undergo a total decomposition before the measurement.\textsuperscript{171} This makes evaluation of the MU much more complex, because: (1) in addition to the uncertainty of the measurement step also uncertainty of the decomposition step must be included; and (2) transformation of the sample from one chemical form to another cannot be traceable to any reference and therefore the highest form of traceability can be achieved by the use of matrix matched CRM.\textsuperscript{172}

7. Sources of Oral Exposure to Fluoride

Knowing total fluoride contents contributing to the oral exposure to fluoride, e.g. water and beverages, different food items, dental products and alternatives to water fluoridation, like fluoridated salt or milk and fluoride supplements, can assist in estimating the total daily intake of fluoride and the measure of exposure.

7. 1. Drinking Water

Natural waters aimed also for human consumption contain varying concentrations of fluoride, from trace amounts to some mg/l and even toxic concentrations. Waters with high concentrations of fluoride are usually found at the foot of high mountains and in areas with geological deposits of marine origin. Large variations in natural fluoride contents of drinking water between and within countries can be observed.\textsuperscript{173,174}

The WHO, based on earlier documents (from 1984, 1993 and 2004),\textsuperscript{77,175,176} set in 2010 the guideline value for fluoride in drinking water, by taking into account drinking water consumption, at 1.5 mg/l.\textsuperscript{26} This is in conflict with the WHO recommendation from 1994 according to which the absolute upper limit for fluoride in drinking water is 1.0 mg/l.\textsuperscript{99} In the European Union (EU) drinking, water for human consumption may not contain more than 1.5 mg/l.\textsuperscript{177} The earlier recommended optimal fluoride concentration for fluoridated water in the US was recently reduced from 0.7–1.2 mg/l to 0.7 mg/l.\textsuperscript{178} It has to be pointed out that few subjects in medicine have proved more controversial than fluoridation of public water supplies. The controversy is illustrated by the fact that, while the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) claimed that water fluoridation is one of the ten great public health achievements in the US during the 20th century,\textsuperscript{179} – 69% of the population receives fluoridated drinking water\textsuperscript{27} – water fluoridation is banned in most of Europe.\textsuperscript{173}
Use of bottled water is in increase. In the EU, bottled water exceeding fluoride concentration of 1.5 mg/l shall bear on the label “contains more than 1.5 mg/l of fluoride: not suitable for regular consumption by infants and children under 7 years of age” and a maximum limit of 5 mg/l is set for naturally present fluoride. The concentration of fluoride in bottled water in the US depends on whether fluoride is naturally present or added and on the annual average of maximum daily air temperatures at the location where the bottled water is sold at retail. Thus, the concentrations of fluoride in bottled water packaged in the US and imported water should not exceed 2.4 and 1.4 mg/l, respectively.

7. 2. Tea and Beverages

The tea plant (Camellia sinensis L.; family Theaceae) (Figure 3) is known to take up fluoride from the soil and accumulate it in the leaves. The total fluorine content of the leaves typically ranges between 50 and 900 mg/kg. About 25–100% of fluorine is released during the infusion, thus the infusions may contain about 0.3 to 8.8 mg/l of fluoride, depending also on the amount of dry tea used, the granulation of the tea, the concentration of fluoride in the added water, the presence of milk, duration of infusion, etc. Concentrations of fluoride in ready-to-drink tea were reported to range between 0.01 to 4.1 mg/l and in one study an extraordinarily high average of 25.7 mg/l of fluoride was reported.

Figure 3: Tea harvesting in Sri Lanka (Photo: M. Ponikvar-Svet)

Concentrations of fluoride in soft drinks, e.g. nectars, juices, juice drinks, ranged between 0.10–2.0 mg/l in Portugal, 0.07–1.14 mg/l in the Canary Islands, 0.02–2.80 mg/l in the US and 0.07–1.42 mg/l in Mexico. Concentrations of fluoride in juices and juices-flavoured drinks for infants and children ranged between 0.01–0.25 mg/l in Poland and 0.11–1.81 mg/l in the US.

Carbonated soft drinks analysed in Europe contained 0.10–0.38 mg/l of fluoride. The observed concentrations were higher in the US and Mexico and ranged from 0.02 to 1.28 mg/l and from 0.07 to 1.62 mg/l, respectively.

The range of fluoride concentration in beer available in Europe was 0.067–1.12 mg/l. High concentrations, 1.77 and 1.66 mg/l of fluoride, were determined in beer from Ireland and the US, respectively. Wide variations in fluoride concentrations found in different studies can be explained either by low natural presence of fluoride or by high presence of fluoride due to naturally occurring or artificially added fluoride in water used in manufacturing process.

Fluoride concentration in bottled wines of the different types from the Canary Islands ranged between 0.03 and 0.70 mg/l and from Turkey between 0.02–0.38 mg/l. High concentrations of fluoride between 0.23 to 2.80 mg/l in nineteen California wines apparently resulted from the use of cryolite as a pesticide.

7. 3. Milk and Baby Formulas

The reported concentrations of fluoride in commercially available milk were generally low and did not exceed 0.1 mg/l. High concentration of fluoride in pasture or drinking water of livestock might however contribute to fluoride levels in milk of different cattle. Reported fluoride concentrations in soya milk varied between 0.01 and 0.964 mg/l.

Fluoride concentrations in breast milk are generally low even at very high intakes of fluoride by mothers. The average concentrations range from 0.002 to 0.073 mg/l. Surprisingly high concentrations of fluoride in breast milk were determined in one study conducted in the high altitude (>2000 m). In that study the fluoride contents of breast milk of mothers with dental fluorosis and children with dental fluorosis, in the high altitude ranged between 0.13–0.99 mg/l (average 0.55 mg/l), while breast milk mothers in the control group without dental fluorosis contained 0.001–0.10 mg/l (average 0.006 mg/l). These results suggested that there is more to understand about the factors affecting the level of fluoride in breast milk, such as the effect of altitude.

Concentrations of fluoride between 0.01 and 0.75 mg/l were reported in a review on fluoride concentration in infant formula products reconstituted with fluoride-free water according to the manufacturer’s instructions. When formulae were prepared with water of differing fluoride concentrations, the fluoride concentration was found to be a simple linear function of water fluoride concentration.

7.4. Foods

The fluorine contents in different foods and drinks in this paper are illustrated using the data from the USDA National Fluoride Database and the United Kingdom Fluoride Database. The USDA Database is based on the data extracted from reviews of existing scientific literature and unpublished results mainly from the...
The more recent UK Database\textsuperscript{219,220} was generated in a period between 2003 and 2015 through a range of research projects. These databases arrange food and drink items in different groups, therefore the F contents are difficult to compare. Thus, we re-positioned all food items and drinks from both databases within the same groups. The averages and the ranges of total fluorine contents in these food groups are presented in Table 1.

The presented data compiled from the original databases (Table 1) show a wide variation in fluorine contents within and between individual food groups (see SDs and ranges). The average content of F of all food groups is 2.7-fold higher in the USDA Database than in the UK Database, this is 47 µg/100 g as opposed to 17 µg/100 g. The average content of F in the USDA Database remains 1.9-fold higher than in the UK Database even if tea is taken aside. This can be explained by different levels of fluoride in water used for the preparation of the products requiring water; results obtained using tap water containing 0.71 mg F\textsuperscript{−}/l are reported in the USDA Database and results reported using tap water containing 0.05–0.13 mg F\textsuperscript{−}/l were selected from the UK Database. The fluorine contents in individual food groups were, for easier visualization presented also in Figure 4.

The content of fluorine in food is generally below 50 µg/100 g (Figure 4 and Table 1). Exceptions to this include: (1) processed food (breakfast cereals, sweets, snacks, sauces) and beverages which can contain considerable amounts of fluorine if fluoridated water is used during the production process or for their preparation; (2) tea, which can contain high fluorine contents, if analyzed samples, due to mechanical deboning, contain bones and exoskeleton remains, where fluoride is accumulated from the sea.

Finally, it is worth mentioning that in addition to these databases many studies reported the contents of total and/or free fluorine in different food items.\textsuperscript{221–227} The results of these studies are difficult to compare, because the determined level of fluorine in food is among other influenced by: (1) the locality in which the food is grown; (2) the differences in the amount of fertilizer and pesticides applied or differences in feeding routine; (3) the type of processing the food receives; (4) the amount of water and the fluoride content of water used in their preparation; (5) different sample pre-treatment procedures; and (6) the differences in the analytical procedures. In addition, the re-

Table 1: The averages and the ranges of total fluorine contents (w\textsubscript{F}) in different food groups on a fresh weight basis listed in the USDA National Fluoride Database\textsuperscript{218} and UK Fluoride Database\textsuperscript{219,220} analyzed as ready-to-eat items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food Group</th>
<th>USDA Database</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>UK Database</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(w_{F}) (µg/100g)</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>(n_{products})</td>
<td>(w_{F}) (µg/100g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits, nuts\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>1–12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fats, oils</td>
<td>9 (10)</td>
<td>1–25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables, spices, herbs\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>17 (15)</td>
<td>1–49</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat and products</td>
<td>24 (14)</td>
<td>4–48</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk, egg and products\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>12 (13)</td>
<td>1–35</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal products</td>
<td>27 (18)</td>
<td>6–51</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast cereals</td>
<td>44 (19)</td>
<td>17–72</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soups, sauces, gravies</td>
<td>39 (34)</td>
<td>1–132</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet, snacks</td>
<td>33 (26)</td>
<td>1–106</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>15 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverages, water</td>
<td>48 (34)</td>
<td>2–204</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea</td>
<td>262 (136)</td>
<td>9–584</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish, shellfish and products</td>
<td>111 (87)</td>
<td>18–210</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>149 (298)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dishes</td>
<td>37 (20)</td>
<td>5–84</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant food and drinks</td>
<td>12 (14)</td>
<td>0–67</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>15 (21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Number of analyzed items \textsuperscript{b} The highest content was not considered (234 µg/100 g of F in raisins) \textsuperscript{c} The highest content was not considered (115 µg/100 g of F in french fries, McDonald’s) \textsuperscript{d} The highest content was not considered (112 µg/100 g of F in cream substitute, powdered)
7. 5. Fluoride Containing Dental Products

Fluoride containing dental products (toothpaste, rinses and gels) although not considered as a dietary source, can increase the total oral intake of fluoride considerably, especially when used inappropriately. Because of poor control of the swallowing reflex, fluoride ingestion by young children needs to be during the first six years of life carefully controlled and the best balance between risk and efficacy might be achieved by using small amounts of high fluoride toothpaste under close supervision from parents.28,29 Fluoridated toothpaste (Figure 5) for children usually contains 250 to 500 µg/g of fluoride228 and for adults from 1000–1500 µg/g of fluoride.229

Figure 5: Toothpaste contributes significantly to the daily intake of fluoride especially in children (Photo: M. Ponikvar-Svet)

7. 6. Fluoridated Salt or Milk and Fluoride Supplements

Salt fluoridation which begun in 1956 in Switzerland24 is practiced as an alternative to water fluoridation. It has been estimated that between 40 million and 280 million people worldwide use fluoridated salt, mainly in European, South American and Central American countries, some Asian countries, including Cambodia and Laos and in Africa, Madagascar. For salt fluoridation, sodium fluoride and potassium fluoride are mostly used at a concentration of 250–300 mg/kg of fluoride.231,232

Milk as a relatively cost-effective vehicle for fluoride delivery in the prevention of dental caries was first proposed in the 1950s.25 As suggested in an update to a Cochrane Review first published in 2005,233 there is low quality evidence to suggest fluoridated milk may be beneficial to schoolchildren, contributing to a substantial reduction in dental caries in primary teeth.

Systematic reviews235,236 have shown that there is weak and inconsistent evidence to demonstrate that fluoride supplements administered in the form of tablets, drops, lozenges or chewing gums can effectively prevent caries in primary teeth. However, there is strong evidence that fluoride supplements can prevent caries in permanent teeth. The use of fluoride supplements was associated with a high risk of mild-to-moderate fluorosis. Current recommendations on their use are controversial – on one hand European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry (EAPD) recommends that fluoride tablets and fluoride drops could be considered on an individual basis for children at high risk of caries237 and, on the other hand, the American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry (AAPD) recommends that fluoride dietary supplements should be considered for children at caries risk who drink fluoride-deficient (less than 0.6 mg/l) water.238

8. Daily Intake of Fluoride

Estimating exposure to fluoride, especially in children, is of crucial importance to avoid potential problems associated with too low or too high intakes. The adequate intake (AI) of fluoride from all sources (including non-dietary sources) is because of proven benefits of fluoride on dental health set at 0.05 mg/day/kg body weight for both children and adults.22 Major contributors to the oral intake of fluoride are drinking water, tea, beverages, foods and fluoride containing dental products.23 The contribution of inhaled airborne fluoride, or fluoride from the soil, to the total fluoride intake is, under normal conditions, small23 and is beyond the scope of this paper.

There is a lack of data on the total fluoride intake from dietary and non-dietary sources based on analyses of individual actual diets.22 The estimates are often based on the estimates of quantities of foods consumed, such as standard food tables or questioners, rather than actual quantities of food consumed.22 This might result in under or over estimates of actual fluoride intakes because of wide variations in fluoride contents of individual food items.

8. 1. Fluoride Intake in Children

As reported the prevalence of enamel fluorosis is over the last three decades on the rise in the United States (US).58,239,240 The cases reported include also more cases of moderate-to-severe fluorosis.58,239–241 One of the possible reasons might be that fluoride appears to be more readily available for consumption nowadays during the critical window when enamel is most susceptible to fluorosis.240
Estimates for the total fluoride intakes for children from food and toothpaste, by water fluoridation status and the age groups as reported in the last decade are presented in Table 2. The data for breastfed infants, who may receive lower amounts of fluoride than those listed in Table 2, are not included.

Wide variations in the intakes of fluoride within and between studies are evident from Table 2. This can be ascribed to the factors like: (1) considerable differences in the contents of fluoride in different food items; (2) large variation in the quantities consumed; (3) differences between the age groups studied; and (4) differences in the analytical methods used for total fluoride determination.

The average total daily intakes of fluoride in children were estimated using data listed in Table 2 and illustrated in Figure 6. The estimated average total daily intake of fluoride from all studies in nonfluoridated areas is slightly higher than in fluoridated areas (0.044 and 0.048 mg/kg body weight (Figure 6 and Table 2). Neither of them exceeds the adequate intake of 0.05 mg/day/kg body weight. Review of data (Table 1) reveals that the contribution of fluoride with the toothpaste in nonfluoridated and fluoridated areas is substantial and represents about half of the estimated average total intake and that the estimates for the total daily intake of fluoride in some studies exceed

---

**Table 2**: Estimated intakes of total fluoride from diet and toothpaste for children expressed as averages in mg/day/kg body weight by F– concentration in water (γ(F–)_{water}) and age groups; fluoride intake by breastfed infants is not listed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yr.)</th>
<th>γ(F–)_{water} (mg/l)</th>
<th>Food (SD)</th>
<th>Toothpaste (SD)</th>
<th>Total (SD)</th>
<th>Note</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nonfluoridated water</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.017 (0.009)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up to 4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up to 4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.04–0.07</td>
<td>0.033 (0.012)</td>
<td>0.016 (0.011)</td>
<td>0.050 (0.019)</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.06–0.07</td>
<td>0.033 (0.012)</td>
<td>0.029 (0.016)</td>
<td>0.062 (0.022)</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>0.08–0.015</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>b, d</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>0.08–0.015</td>
<td>0.018 (0.018)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>b, d</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–7</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.008 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.023 (0.026)</td>
<td>0.031 (0.025)</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.04–0.07</td>
<td>0.033 (0.012)</td>
<td>0.012 (0.007)</td>
<td>0.048 (0.038)</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.06–0.07</td>
<td>0.030 (0.014)</td>
<td>0.013 (0.006)</td>
<td>0.043 (0.016)</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average (SD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.021 (0.011)</td>
<td>0.023 (0.015)</td>
<td>0.044 (0.018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Fluoridated water** | | | | | | |
| up to 4   | 0.64                | 0.011      | 0.037 | 0.048 | b | 243 |
| up to 4   | 0.64                | 0.015      | NA | 0.015 | b | 243 |
| 2–5       | 0.5–0.7             | 0.033 (0.013) | 0.01 (0.01) | 0.043 (0.016) | b | 247 |
| 2–6       | 0.6–0.8             | 0.028      | 0.036 (0.028) | 0.064 (0.035) | a | 248 |
| 3–4       | <0.7                | 0.02 (0.01) | 0.00 | 0.02 | a | 249 |
| 3–4       | 0.7–1.2             | 0.04 (0.01) | 0.01 | 0.05 | a | 249 |
| 4         | 0.64                | 0.025 (0.010) | 0.046 | 0.071 (0.036) | b | 250 |
| 4         | 0.8–1.0             | 0.041 (0.026) | 0.018 | 0.059 (0.029) | a | 244 |
| 4–6       | 1                   | 0.027 (0.014) | 0.030 | 0.058 (0.042) | b, e | 251 |
| 4–6       | 1                   | 0.026 (0.014) | 0.028 | 0.054 (0.034) | b, e | 251 |
| 6–7       | 0.47                | 0.016 (0.005) | 0.022 | 0.038 (0.038) | a | 246 |
| 6–7       | 0.82                | 0.025 (0.014) | 0.022 | 0.047 (0.008) | a | 246 |
| 8         | 0.8–1.0             | 0.041 (0.039) | 0.015 | 0.057 (0.045) | a | 244 |
| **Average (SD)** | | | | | | |
|           | 0.027 (0.010)       | 0.023 (0.013) | 0.048 (0.017) | | | |

| **High fluoridated water** | | | | | | |
| 3–4       | >1.2                | 0.05 (0.03) | 0.01 (0.02) | 0.07 | a | 249 |
| 4         | 2.0–3.0             | 0.362 (0.181) | 0.022 (0.014) | 0.385 (0.184) | a | 244 |
| 8         | 2.0–3.0             | 0.307 (0.120) | 0.019 (0.016) | 0.326 (0.128) | a | 244 |
| 11        | 5                   | 0.29 (0.10) | 0.017 | 0.307 | b, f | 30 |
| 12        | 5                   | 0.35 (0.10) | 0.017 | 0.367 | b, f | 30 |
| 12        | 5                   | 0.36 (0.20) | 0.017 | 0.377 | b, f | 30 |
| **Average (SD)** | | | | | | |
|           | 0.287 (0.120)       | 0.017 (0.004) | 0.305 (0.119) | | | |

The estimated average total daily intake of fluoride from all studies in nonfluoridated areas is slightly higher than in fluoridated areas (0.044 and 0.048 mg/kg body weight (Figure 6 and Table 2). Neither of them exceeds the adequate intake of 0.05 mg/day/kg body weight. Review of data (Table 1) reveals that the contribution of fluoride with the toothpaste in nonfluoridated and fluoridated areas is substantial and represents about half of the estimated average total intake and that the estimates for the total daily intake of fluoride in some studies exceed
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the AI in both nonfluoridated and fluoridated areas.\textsuperscript{30,243-251} The average estimated daily intake of fluoride in nonfluoridated areas is higher and in fluoridated areas lower, than that reported with diet and toothpaste a decade ago (0.036 and 0.071 mg/kg body weight in nonfluoridated and fluoridated areas, respectively).\textsuperscript{23} Possible explanations for these differences are that the recent research (Table 1) has been more focused on: (1) the actual intakes of fluoride, as determined by duplicate diet technique; (2) the total oral fluoride intake including actual intake of fluoride with the toothpaste; and (3) the use of more accurate and precise analytical methods for total fluorine determination.

A comment has to be put on the average estimated total fluoride intakes of 0.260 mg/kg body weight in areas with fluoride contents in water above 1.2 mg/l.\textsuperscript{30,244,249} These intakes extremely exceed the AI and also the upper limit\textsuperscript{50} of fluoride daily intake set at 0.10 mg/kg body weight for children up to the age of eight years.

8. 2. Fluoride Intake in Adults

The major source of fluoride intake in adults is diet. The data on the total fluoride intake in adults are of older date before 2007.\textsuperscript{23} The average daily total fluoride intake in nonfluoridated areas was then estimated to range from 0.56 to 1.50 mg (average 1.11 mg) (equivalent to 0.008–0.021 (average 0.016) mg/kg body weight for a 70 kg man). The estimated daily intake of fluoride in fluoridated areas was almost 2-fold higher, being 0.91–3.78 (average 2.07) mg, equivalent to 0.013–0.054 (average 0.030) mg/kg body weight for a 70 kg man.\textsuperscript{23} The average total daily intake of fluoride estimated in our study conducted in Slovenia, where water is nonfluoridated and fluoride content is generally low was 0.73–2.50 mg (average 1.50 mg) (equivalent to 0.010–0.036 (average 0.021) mg/kg body weight for a 70 kg man). This study is of importance because it is one of the rare studies conducted in adults using duplicate diet technique.\textsuperscript{149}

There are exceptions however showing higher fluoride intakes than those listed. Tea can significantly contribute to the total daily intake of fluoride. The content of F\textsuperscript{-} in tea as determined in our recent study ranged between 0.32 and 3.55 mg/l (average 1.42 mg/l).\textsuperscript{163} Figure 7 illustrates average daily intakes of fluoride in adults from food and daily consumption of 1 l of tea. Water with F\textsuperscript{-} concentration of 1 mg/l was considered for preparing tea infusions in fluoridated areas.

As illustrated in Figure 7, the consumption of tea can significantly contribute to the daily intake of fluoride; the AI for fluoride can be easily exceeded with consumption of higher quantities (≈1 l) of low quality tea. The presented intakes can be however also extremely higher in fluoride endemic areas\textsuperscript{13,14} or in southern China, where brick tea-type fluorosis has even become an urgent public health problem.\textsuperscript{252,253}

9. Adequate Intake of Fluoride

The basis for setting the adequate intake of fluoride is research by Dean and others. Initial studies conducted in the 1930s and early 1940s were focused on fluoride in water in relation to the appearance of dental fluorosis\textsuperscript{254-259} and then turned to fluoride in water in relation to caries.\textsuperscript{260,261} A “dose response” relationship between fluoride concentration in water supplies and dental fluorosis was established in the 22 cities study\textsuperscript{261} and between fluoride concentration in water supplies and caries in the 21 cities study.\textsuperscript{261,262} Reduction in the average number of dental caries per child was nearly maximal in communities having water fluoride concentrations close to 1.0 mg/l. This is how 1.0 mg/l of fluoride became the “optimal” concentra-
tation, i.e. it was associated with a high degree of protection against caries and a low prevalence of the milder forms of enamel fluorosis.

The first conversion of the exposure to fluoride in water supplies to the exposure to fluoride by the intake from water and food was made by McClure.263 The daily fluoride intake in children at the age between 1 and 12 years ranged from 0.02–0.10 mg/kg body weight (average 0.05 mg/kg body weight).263 The way on how this information became interpreted as a recommendation was reviewed by Burt21, who concluded that "Despite its dubious genesis, however, empirical evidence suggests that 0.05–0.07 mg fluoride/kg body weight/day remains a useful upper limit for fluoride intake in children." The beneficial effects of fluoride on the prevention of dental caries were considered as an appropriate indicator for setting the adequate intake also by EFSA. Thus, the AI of fluoride from all sources (including non-dietary sources), was set at 0.05 mg/kg body weight per day for both children and adults, including pregnant and lactating women.22 At the same time, it was however noted that reliable and representative data on the European population's total fluoride intake are not available (see also section Daily intake of fluoride).22

The current guidelines on the AI of fluoride, which are widely used in authoritative advisory recommendations for many decades, have been recently questioned, mainly because: (1) they were established empirically; (2) sources of ingested fluoride have changed; and (3) the prevalence and severity of dental caries and dental fluorosis have changed.264 As a result, the appropriateness of current guidance was addressed, however, no firm conclusions were made.265–267

10. Conclusions – Enough or Too Much Fluoride?

Many health authorities worldwide consider beneficial effects of fluoride on the prevention of dental caries as an appropriate indicator to set the adequate daily intake of fluoride from all sources between 0.05 and 0.07 mg/day/kg body weight. The AI was set based on empirical observations reported by McClure263 in 1943, when practically the only source of fluoride was fluoride in water. Today next to water, also tea and other beverages, diet, fluoridated food supplements and dental products can significantly contribute to the daily intake of fluoride. Among different food items processed food, fish and shellfish products might contain considerable contents of fluoride.

Excessive chronic intakes of fluoride can result in the development of fluoride related adverse effects. The primary adverse effects associated with chronic, excess fluoride intake are dental and skeletal fluorosis. As reported, the prevalence of enamel fluorosis including more cases of moderate-to-severe fluorosis is in increase.58,239,240 A threshold as low as 0.03 mg/day/kg body weight has been suggested for the appearance of dental fluorosis, however even this intake will result in a certain, although low, level of fluorosis in a population.39,57 Other adverse effects related to the toxicity of fluoride for cells of different tissues include, among others, neurodevelopmental disorders, neurotoxicity, decreased total fertility rate and diabetes.

A review of the recent studies showed that the average total daily intake of fluoride with diet and toothpaste in children living in nonfluoridated and fluoridated areas is (0.044 ± 0.026) and (0.048 ± 0.016) mg/kg body weight, respectively. These intakes are high enough to assure optimal protection against dental caries, but on the other hand, also high enough to pose a risk for the development of dental fluorosis. There is a lack of recent studies on the daily intake of fluoride in adults. Earlier reported estimates of the average total daily intake of fluoride are 0.016 and 0.030 mg/kg body weight in nonfluoridated and fluoridated areas, respectively.

Attempts have been made to challenge the guidelines on the AI. However even the most notable ongoing recent study, which started between 1992 and 1995, on the association between fluoride intake, dental caries, and dental fluorosis (Iowa Fluoride Study)268–274 after years of extensive investigation, came to the following conclusion: "Given the overlap among caries/fluorosis groups in mean fluoride intake and extreme variability in individual fluoride intakes, firmly recommending "optimal" fluoride intake is problematic".272

It is therefore not surprising that the guidelines on the AI of fluoride have been recently questioned264 and addressed.265–267

Based on the available literature, current recommendations on fluoride intake and the fact that the majority of fluoride benefits can be ascribed to its topical, rather than systemic, effects, it is hard to say whether the current AI is appropriate, i.e. too low or too high. Knowing this is of crucial importance because the margin between the beneficial and deleterious effects of fluoride appears to be so narrow.

11. Our Research and Future Directions

The majority of the fluoride debate is based on the results of the studies which can be regarded by scepticism. Only a few studies reported the use of certified reference materials (CRMs) as a part of the quality assurance system and only two studies with one being contributed by us reported the results together with the measurement uncertainty (MU) estimated according to the Guide to the Expression of Measurement Uncertainty (GUM).117,170,171 In addition, in more than eight decades, after McClure275 published his first study on fluorine contents in different food items, food growing and processing and our eating habits have changed dramatically. This is also evidenced by...
meaningful difference between the average contents of F in food consumed in the US and the UK (see Section 7.4.). Thus, more accurate and up to date information on fluoride content in food and possible adverse effects of fluoride in relation to other ions, especially those forming complexes with fluoride are a prerequisite to avoid possible problems related to high intakes.

In our laboratory classical analytics used for determining the composition of bulk material of synthesised compounds containing fluorine was extended to thermochemical investigations, measurement uncertainty evaluation, determination of fluoride in food and environmental samples and nanomaterials.

Principles of thermochemistry were used for the estimation of the entropies of formation of fluoride containing aqueous anions and to discuss ions containing solely fluorine atoms and oxidation potential of fluoride. Possible reactions proceeding during total decomposition of fluorine containing materials by pyrohydrolysis, oxygen bomb combustion and alkaline carbonate fusion were suggested and accompanying thermochemistry discussed. Based on this investigation some of the most resistant fluorite minerals towards the total decomposition were suggested.

The importance of evaluating the MU according to the GUM in research laboratories was addressed and a procedure for the MU evaluation for determination of F in vegetation was suggested.

Our study on fluoride contents in total diet samples is important, since it is one of the few studies on the intakes of fluoride from food in adults which is based on duplicate diet technique. There is a number of papers reporting the contents of fluoride in tea infusions (Camellia sinensis L.). In our study we extensively investigated contents of total fluoride in tea and its leaching in the form of F⁻ into the infusion with respect to the type of tea and its manufacturing procedure. As concluded, more attention should be put on consumption of fluoride from food and tea containing beverages.

Our laboratory is devoted also to the environmental issues. In a period of ten years two uncontrolled releases of gaseous fluorides from the industry, which caused damage to the environment and possibly humans via the food chain were identified. The first release also initiated a study on the stress syndrome response of nettle (Urtica dioica L.) grown in fluoride contaminated substrate to fluoride. Based on these results nettle was suggested as a passive bioindicator for monitoring soil pollution with F⁻ or for the phytoremediation by the mechanism of phytoextraction of F⁻ polluted soils.

We also participated in characterization of upconverting, lanthanide-doped, fluoride nanoparticles, which show a great potential in bioimaging.

Fluorine research remains relatively sporadic also because of a lack of sound analytical techniques enabling fast and multielemental analysis of F. Thus the predominant method for F analysis remains fluoride ion selective electrode.

We should be therefore not surprised if a lack of reliable data for F content in different food items and a lack of regulation regarding F content in human and animal diets can be noticed, i.e. the only EU directive related to fluoride in diet addresses the maximum content of F in animal feed.

More research and actions regarding: (1) the content of F in different food items; (2) reporting the results in accordance to the GUM; (3) the intakes of fluoride and its possible adverse effects in relation to interaction with other food components should be encouraged to provide support for further development and implementation of legislation concerning fluorine.

Despite all the knowledge we currently have we can conclude that one is currently left with the question “Enough or too much fluoride?”. Whether the complex nature of the system precludes there ever being a definite answer, remains to be seen.
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