Investigation of Mechanistic Pathway for Trimethyl Borate Mediated Amidation of (R)-Mandelic Acid for the Synthesis of Mirabegron, an Antimuscarinic Agent
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Abstract

The present work describes investigation of mechanistic pathway for trimethyl borate mediated amidation of (R)-mandelic acid (3) with 4-nitophenylethylamine (2) to provide (R)-2-hydroxy-N-[2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl]-2-phenylacetamide (4) during mirabegron synthesis. Plausible reaction mechanism is proposed by isolating and elucidating the active α-hydroxy ester intermediate 16 from the reaction mass. Trimethyl borate mediated approach proved to be selective in providing 4 without disturbing α-hydroxyl group and stereochemistry of the chiral center, and is also a greener, more economic and production friendly over the reported methods. The developed approach is rapid and efficient for the preparation of 4 with an overall yield of 85–87% and around 99.0% purity by HPLC at scale.
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1. Introduction

Mirabegron (1), chemically known as 2-(2-amino-1,3-thiazol-4-yl)-N-[4-(2-[(2R)-2-hydroxy-2-phenylethyl]amino)ethyl]phenylacetamide, is a selective agonist for the human beta 3-adrenoceptor,1 approved for the treatment of overactive bladder (OAB) syndrome.2 It exhibits novel mechanism of action compared to other antimuscarinics by improving the storage capacity of the bladder without inhibiting bladder voiding.3 The drug developed by Astellas Pharma was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administrative (US-FDA) in June 2012 and by European Medicines Agency in December 2012.4

The first generation syntheses,5 reported two synthetic approaches for 1 (Scheme 1, route a and b) wherein both the approaches follow opening of epoxide ring of the (R)-styrene oxide (8). The first approach (Scheme 1, route a) involves nucleophilic addition of 2 on 8 to obtain nitroamine 5a. The amino group of 5a is protected with di-tert-butyl-dicarbonate (Boc₂O) to give 5b which is then reduced using Pd/C to yield amine derivative 6a. Aniline 6a is further condensed with thiazole acid 7 to obtain amide intermediate 1a. Removal of Boc protection group of 1a using HCl furnished di-hydrochloride salt of 1 with an overall yield of around 8%. In the second approach (Scheme 1, route b), condensation of (4-aminophenyl)acetonitrile (9) and thiazole acid 7 is carried out in the first step, whereas advanced intermediate 11a is reacted with epoxide 8 in penultimate step to provide 1. However, detailed synthetic procedure for the route b is not provided in the report. Both of these approaches have several disadvantages such as extensive use of protecting and de-protecting sequences, expensive (R)-styrene oxide (8) as the starting material, and poor yields for epoxide ring opening reactions.

The second generation synthesis,6 (Scheme 1, route c) reported for 1 exploited commercially available (R)
-mandelic acid (3) as the starting material instead of the epoxide 8. Synthesis commenced with coupling of 2 and 3 in the presence of 1-ethyl-3-(3-(dimethylamino)propyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI) as the coupling agent, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBr) as the catalyst, triethylamine as the base in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) solvent to provide amide 4. Amide 4 was reduced using borane-tetrahydrofuran (BH₃-THF) complex and 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone (DMI) to provide amino alcohol 5 which was hydrogenated under Pd-C catalyst to provide di-amine 6. Coupling of di-amine 6 with thiazole acid 7 in the presence of EDCI furnished 1 in its β-cristalline form. Finally, the β-crystalline form was crystallized in aqueous ethanol to obtain α-crystalline form of 1. Though the second generation synthesis represents an improvement over the first generation, it still has disadvantages, like the use of expensive EDCI in two steps which also poses increased burden of impurities due to side product formation from EDCI and HOBr. Other methods reported for 1 either involve use of expensive (R)-styrene oxide (8) as the starting material resulting in low yields (around 11% to 27%) or involve protection and de-protection steps that make the synthesis lengthy and less economic.7

Schemes 1. Reported syntheses of mirabegron (1).5–6
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Recent reported approach for the synthesis of 4 replaced EDCI and HOBr with pivaloyl chloride (PivCl) for the condensation of amine 2 with acid 3 via mixed anhydride approach in a biphasic medium comprising dichloromethane (DCM) and water in the presence of triethylamine at reflux temperature (Scheme 2).\(^8\)

The organic layer containing mixed anhydride 12 (having both carboxylic acid groups and hydroxyl group of compound 3 protected with the pivaloyl group), is separated and reacted with amine 2 to obtain pivaloyl ether 13, which is hydrolyzed under basic conditions to provide the desired key intermediate 4. However, usage of PivCl at industrial scale with extended operations like separations, washings, de-protections and distillation makes the process cumbersome and less efficient.

Recently, we have reported\(^9\) an efficient and first process for the direct isolation of stable α-form crystals of mirabegron (1) from our laboratory. In continuation of our research on mirabegron (1), herein, we report an easy, straightforward, industrially scalable, selective and economic synthesis of the key intermediate (R)-2-hydroxy-N-[2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl]-2-phenylacetamide (4) using trimethylborate as the coupling agent.

### 2. Results and Discussion

(R)-Mandelic acid (3) was found to be an appropriate starting point for mirabegron in view of the cost and commercial availability and was thus selected for the process research and development work (Scheme 1, route c). Use of EDCI and HOBr was not considered for the present work owing to the large quantity requirements and resulting in direct impact on the cost of production of 1. Thus, we began exploring alternatives to develop cost effective approach by employing simple, easily available and user friendly acid activating agents to manufacture large quantities of amide 4. The commonly known methods for activation of carboxylic acid is to make its derivatives, such as acid halides, mixed anhydrides or to use activating agents, such as DCC, EDCI, HATU, HBTU, BOP-Cl and others does not only increases manufacturing cost but also increases burden of control of corresponding by-products in active pharmaceutical ingredient (API).\(^10\)

Boric acid [B(OH)\(_3\)] and their derivatives are green, inexpensive and readily available catalysts for direct formation of amide bond, thus attracting our attention.\(^11–14\)

We first attempted to carry out amidation between amine 2 and acid 3 using boric acid, including efforts of trapping water (i.e. side product) by means of molecular sieves and Dean–Stark apparatus but none of these attempts gave satisfactory results. As per literature reports, catalytic activity of boric acid was enhanced by converting it to borate esters by introducing mono-hydroxyl or di-hydroxyl functional groups, such as cresol or tetrachlorocatechol.\(^15–16\)

However, to minimize the burden of atom economy, we attempted the use of a simple and commercially available trimethylborate [B(OMe)\(_3\)] as the coupling agent.

Feasibility of B(OMe)\(_3\) was explored during the screening experiments using various solvents [DMF, THF, 2Me-THF, acetonitrile (ACN), DMSO] in the presence of different bases (TEA, K\(_2\)CO\(_3\), NaHCO\(_3\), DIPEA). The outcome of the screening experiments is shown in Table 1. Accordingly, the coupling reaction was proved to be successful when Hünig's base [\(\text{N, N}-\text{diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA)}\)] was employed in acetonitrile as the solvent (Table 1, entry 7).

Further, to increase the yield and quality a set of optimization experiments were designed and executed. During the optimization it was observed that, when 2, 3, B(OMe)\(_3\), and DIPEA were added together in ACN and heated to reflux, 40–45% of amine 2 was found unreacted in the reaction mass even after 15 hours. On the contrary, when acid 3 and B(OMe)\(_3\) are allowed to react first in
ACN at elevated temperature followed by the addition of amine 2 and DIPEA, a drastic increase in product formation was observed leaving behind only 10–15% of unreacted amine 2. To understand the reason behind higher conversion ratio, we conducted an investigation by trapping the reaction intermediate after reacting acid 3 and B(OMe)₃ in ACN. The solvent was distilled out completely, obtained oily residue was triturated in isopropyl ether and resultant gummy solid was analyzed by mass and NMR spectroscopy. The spectral data indicated the presence of 16 as the major constituent along with minor amounts of compounds 14 and 15 as per our primary investigation (Figure 1).

In order to confirm the structure of intermittent intermediate, the oily residue was further purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate and n-heptane as the eluent over silica gel. The white crystalline solid obtained was analyzed by mass and NMR spectroscopy. The obtained spectral data confirmed the structure as methyl ester of (R)-mandelic acid (16), which was further confirmed by comparing it with methyl ester synthesized by reacting acid 3 and methanol in catalytic amounts of HCl. Further, synthesized and isolated intermediates along with reaction mixture of acid 3 and B(OMe)₃ were analyzed with HPLC using validated HPLC method and it was found that all the three components were eluted at the same

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>B(OMe)₃ (equiv.)</th>
<th>Solvent (vol.)</th>
<th>Base (equiv.)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Unreacted 2 (%) in reaction mass</th>
<th>Amide (4) Yield (%)</th>
<th>Purity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>ACN (15)</td>
<td>TEA (2.0)</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>ACN (15)</td>
<td>K₂CO₃ (2.0)</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>97.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>ACN (15)</td>
<td>NaHCO₃ (2.0)</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>THF (20)</td>
<td>DIPEA (2.0)</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2-Me-THF (20)</td>
<td>DIPEA (2.0)</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>60.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>DCM (20)</td>
<td>DIPEA (2.0)</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>ACN (15)</td>
<td>DIPEA (2.0)</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Isolated yield; 2 Percent purity of isolated 4 by HPLC; n.a: product not isolated.

Table 1. Screening of coupling agent, solvent and base for amidation of 2 and 3.
retention times confirming the proposed structure 16. Accordingly, we propose a plausible reaction mechanism (Figure 2) wherein acid 3 reacts with B(OMe)₃ to form the activated borate ester intermediate 17 along with the by-product methanol. Intermediate 17 undergoes nucleophilic reaction with methanol to form 16 which couples with 2 at reflux temperature to provide amide 4 selectively and efficiently.

Based on the above findings, the progress of the reaction was monitored by HPLC for formation of 16 and its subsequent conversion to amide 4. Further, appropriate reaction parameters were designed to achieve optimized reaction conditions (mole ratio of acid 3, B(OMe)₃, and DIPEA with respect to amine 2, volume of ACN, time and temperature) for the efficient synthesis of 4 from 2 and 3 via ester intermediate 16.

Reacting 1.5 mol of acid 3 with 1.5 mol of B(OMe)₃ at 55–60 °C for 90 minutes provided quantitative conversion to 16 as monitored by HPLC. However, temperature of more than 60 °C led to the loss of B(OMe)₃ due to evaporation. Amine 2 (1.0 mol) and DIPEA (1.5 mol) were then added to the above resulting mixture and heated to reflux temperature for 8–10 hours. Reaction mixture was monitored for the unreacted amine 2 that was consistently found <5% after 8 to 10 hours. Once the desired conversion was achieved, solvent ACN was distilled to half of its initial volume followed by the addition of ethyl acetate and water to the resultant reaction mass. The organic layer from the above reaction mass was separated and washed with 10% HCl solution to remove unreacted amine 2 and 5% NaOH solution to remove unreacted acid 3 from the organic layer containing product 4. By-product boric acid gets washed out easily with aqueous phase. Organic layer was distilled out completely to provide crude 4 with 85–87% yield and >98% purity by HPLC (Scheme 3). The experiments conducted to check the consistency of the established optimized reaction parameters are provided in Table 2.

3. Conclusions

In conclusion, we report an efficient, scalable and economic synthesis of amide 4, a key intermediate of mirabegron (1) prepared via an active ester intermediate 16. Based on the isolation and identification of the ester intermediate 16, a plausible mechanism for B(OMe)₃ mediated synthesis of amide 4 is proposed. B(OMe)₃ used for amide coupling in the present work is simple, cost efficient and easy to handle, therefore preferable over the other reported reagents. The developed process provided amide 4 in 85–87% yield and 98.0–99.6% purity by HPLC on kilogram scale batches, which was further used in production of mirabegron API having high purity.

4. Experimental Section

4.1. Materials

All chemical reagents and solvents were purchased from Megafine Pharma (P) Ltd. Vapi, R. L. Chemical Industries Pvt. Ltd., Alkali metals Ltd., OC Specialties Pvt. Ltd. & Pacific Organics Pvt. Ltd. and Imperial Chemical Corporation and used as received.

4.2. Instrumental Analysis

The NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 300 MHz instrument in DMSO-d₆; the chemical shifts are reported in δ ppm relative to TMS. Related substance purity and reaction monitoring were monitored by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on Agilent Technologies 1200 series.

![Scheme 3. B(OMe)₃ mediated synthesis of amide 4.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>B(OMe)₃ (equiv.)</th>
<th>Solvent vol. (mL)</th>
<th>Base (equiv.)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Amide (4) Yield (%)</th>
<th>Purity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>ACN (12)</td>
<td>DIPEA (1.5)</td>
<td>Reflux</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>99.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>ACN (12)</td>
<td>DIPEA (1.5)</td>
<td>Reflux</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>98.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>ACN (12)</td>
<td>DIPEA (1.5)</td>
<td>Reflux</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>98.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>ACN (12)</td>
<td>DIPEA (1.5)</td>
<td>Reflux</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>85.02</td>
<td>99.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. 3. HPLC Method for Calculating the Chemical and Assay Purity

Related substances, assay and chiral purity of mirabe Gron (1) were estimated by a gradient HPLC analysis method developed at Megafine.

a) Related substances of amide 4 was estimated by using Zorbax SB-C8, (150 × 4.6 mm ID), 3.5 µ column; buffer comprising of phosphate buffer contains 3.4 g potassium dihydrogenophosphate in 1000 mL of HPLC grade water sonicated to dissolve, adjusted the pH to 6.5 with triethylamine and filtered through 0.45 µ nylon filter and degased. Mobile phase-A comprising a mixture of buffer/methanol in the ratio 80:20 (v/v). Mobile phase-B comprising a mixture of acetonitrile/methanol in the ratio 80:20 (v/v); gradient elution: time (min)/A (v/v): B (v/v), T0.0/80:20, T8.0/80:20, T16:65:35, T25:65:35, T35:65:35, T40:60:40, T43:80:20, T50:80:20; flow rate 1.0 mL/min, column temperature 35 °C wavelength 215 nm. The observed retention time of amide 4 under these chromatographic conditions was about 15.0 min.

b) Assay of amide 4 was estimated by using Zorbax SB-C8, (150 × 4.6 mm ID), 3.5 µ column; buffer was phosphate buffer containing 3.4 g potassium dihydrogenophosphate in 1000 mL of HPLC grade water, sonicated to dissolve, pH of 6.5 was adjusted with triethylamine and filtered through 0.45 µ nylon filter and degased. Mobile phase comprising of buffer/acetonitrile in the ratio 60:40 (v/v); flow rate 1.0 mL/min; column temperature 35 °C wavelength 215 nm. The observed retention time of amide 4 under these chromatographic conditions was about 4.7 min.

4. 4. Preparation of Intermediate of (R)-mandelic Acid and Trimethyl Borate

A solution of (R)-mandelic acid (3, 15 g, 98.6 mmol) and trimethyl borate (10.26 g, 98.6 mmol) in acetonitrile (150 mL) was stirred at 55–60 °C. After 4.5 h TLC showed formation of a major spot, reaction mixture was adsorbed on silica gel and product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel: 60–120 mesh, eluent: 10% ethyl acetate in n-heptane) providing 10.1 g pure crystalline solid. 1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-δ6): δ 7.43–7.28 (m, 5H), 5.14 (s, 1H), 3.6 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-δ6): δ 172.20, 147.89, 145.97, 141.33, 130.04, 127.86, 127.34, 126.59, 123.24, 73.56, 39.00, 34.77.

4. 5. Synthesis of Hydroxy-phenyl-acetic Acid Methyl Ester 16

To a stirred solution of (R)-mandelic acid (3, 10 g, 65.7 mmol) in methanol (50 mL) was added conc. HCl (4 mL) and heated to 65 °C. After maintaining at that temperature for 5 h TLC showed complete conversion of 3, thereafter methanol was distilled out and water (100 mL) was added to the residue. To the resultant mixture was added aq. NaHCO3 solution and the pH was adjusted between 7 and 8. DCM (50 mL) was added and the mixture stirred for 5 min. The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with 10% NaHCO3 solution (25 mL) and 5% brine (25 mL). Organic layer was concentrated to yield hydroxy-phenyl-acetic acid methyl ester 16 as a white solid (7.5 g). 1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-δ4): δ 7.43–7.28 (m, 5H), 6.10–6.08 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 5.17–5.15 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (s, 3H). 1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-δ4 - D2O Exchange): δ 7.41–7.29 (m, 5H), 5.14 (s, 1H), 3.6 (s, 3H).

4. 6. Preparation of (R)-2-hydroxy-N-[2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl]-2-phenylacetamide (4)

Acetonitrile (2.0 L), (R)-mandelic acid (3, 225.3 g, 1.48 mol) and trimethyl borate (153.8 g, 1.48 mol) was added into a RBF at 25–30 °C and stirred to obtain clear solution. The obtained clear solution was heated to 60 °C for 90 min. Amine (2, 200 g, 0.987 mol) and DIPEA (191 g, 1.48 mol) was added to the obtained solution at 60 °C and the reaction mixture was heated to reflux and stirred for 11 h. Completion of the reaction was monitored by HPLC. Acetonitrile (1.2 L) was distilled at atmospheric pressure. The concentrated reaction mixture was cooled to 25–30 °C and was diluted with ethyl acetate (1.4 L). Reaction mixture was then twice washed with 1N HCl (1.2 L and 0.8 L) followed by washing with 5% sodium hydroxide solution (1.2 L and 0.8 L). Organic layer was further washed with 10% brine solution (1.2 L) and then concentrated under vacuum below 65 °C to obtain residue. To the obtained residue toluene (1.2 L) was added, heated to 95 °C for 30 min, cooled to 20 °C and stirred for 1–2 h. Precipitated solid was filtered, washed with toluene (100 mL) and dried in a vacuum oven at 50±5 °C for 2–3 h. The dry weight of the amide 4 was 256 g (86.4% yield). Purity by HPLC: 98.9%; m/z [M + H]+ calcd. for C16H16N2O4: 300.30; found: 301. 1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-δ6): δ 2.85–2.88 (t, 2H), 3.29–3.43 (m, 2H), 4.83–4.84 (d, 1H), 6.12–6.13 (d, 1H), 7.23–7.31 (m, 5H), 7.38–7.40 (d, 2H), 8.05–8.10 (m, 3H). 13C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-δ6): δ 172.20, 147.89, 145.97, 141.33, 130.04, 127.86, 127.34, 126.59, 123.24, 73.56, 39.00, 34.77.
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Povzetek

V članku opisujemo raziskavo mehanistične poti amidiranja (R)-mandljeve kisline (3) s 4-nitrofeniletilaminom (2) pod vplivom trimetil borata, ki vodi do nastanka (R)-2-hidroksi-N-[2-(4-nitrofenil)etil]-2-fenilacetamida (4), intermediata v sintezi mirabegrona. Na osnovi izolacije in karakterizacije aktivnega α-hidroksiesterskega intermediata 16 iz reakcijske zmesi smo predlagali smiselni reakcijski mehanizem. Pristop z uporabo trimetil borata se je izkazal kot selektivna pot do spojine 4, saj stranska α-hidroksi skupina reakcije ni motila, prav tako pa med reakcijo ni prišlo do racemizacije kiralnega centra. V primerjavi z doslej znanimi pristopi je opisana metoda okolju prijaznejša, bolj ekonomična in primernejša za industrijsko proizvodnjo. Metoda je hitra in učinkovita pot za pripravo 4 s celokupnim izkoristkom 85–87 % in približno 99.0 % čistočo (ugotovljeno s HPLC).
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