Interaction of PER2 with the Constitutive Androstane Receptor Possibly Links Circadian Rhythms to Metabolism
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Abstract

Period 2 (PER2) is an important factor in daily oscillations called circadian rhythms, which are emerging as one of the most important regulatory networks, responsible for homeostasis and transcriptional regulation of a number of genes.

Our work shows that PER2 could act as a co-activator of the constitutive androstane receptor (CAR), a key nuclear receptor (NR) that regulates the metabolism of endobiotics and xenobiotics. Bioinformatic analysis shows that PER2 and CAR possess structural elements that could enable them to interact which was confirmed experimentally by CoIP experiment. Co-transfection of mouse hepatocarcinoma cells with plasmids overexpressing Per2 and Car increases expression of Bmal1, a potential CAR target gene, more than transfections with Car only. This is the first report indicating the interaction of PER2 and CAR.
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1. Introduction

Circadian rhythms are daily oscillations in most cells and organisms. They are governed by autonomous molecular circadian oscillators that are synchronized by external cues, such as light and food. The circadian machinery is composed of positive and negative transcriptional and translational feedback loops. The major positive loop comprises of transcriptional activators BMAL1 and CLOCK that can heterodimerize and drive their own transcription as well as that of elements of the negative loop, such as Period (PER) homologues, Cryptochromes and Rev-erbα. The transcriptional repression of Bmal1 due to REV-ERBα can be counter-balanced by RORα and PPARα.1–3

PER2 is involved in the negative feedback loop where it directly interacts with the transactivation complex of BMAL1-CLOCK and represses its transcriptional activation capability. It also acts in the positive loop where it drives Bmal1 expression by acting as a co-activator of NRs, eg PPARα.1 PER2 in metabolic and mental disorders, cancer and other pathologies is currently being intensively studied.4–8 Due to its two LXXLL structural motifs, which can interact with a hydrophobic pocket of NRs, it has been studied as a nuclear receptor co-activator.9 Perhaps one of the most important transcriptional regulator of metabolism is CAR, a NR regulator of primary and secondary metabolism. CAR can be directly or indirectly activated by various endogenous ligands, eg bilirubin, and xenobiotics, eg barbiturates.10–18 After activation and nuclear localization it interacts with co-activators and heterodimerization factors, most often the retinoid X receptor (RXR). The final protein complex can transactivate enzymes of the cytochrome P450 (CYP), glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) and multi drug resistance protein (MRP) families.3,13,15,19–21

The interaction between nuclear receptors and their co-activators is a well-documented interaction that is
highly conserved among species. Nuclear receptors have high levels of similarity in their ligand binding domains, especially in the region of helices 3, 4 and 5. These helices form a hydrophobic cleft which interacts with leucines of the co-activators’ LXXLL motifs. The two residues between the three leucines have little or no importance to binding of LXXLL motifs as they are in direct contact with the surrounding aqueous solution. Charged residues of the helices 3–5 form interactions with amino acids surrounding the LXXLL motifs which are crucial for the specificity of interactions and recognition of appropriate co-activators. The most important factor for specificity of co-activators are the two residues just before the first leucine of the LXXLL motif, usually referred as –2 and –1. Of most interest to this study was the class 3 of LXXLL motifs, according to Savkur and Burris, which encompasses motifs SXLXXLL.

Here it was shown that CAR and PER2 interact with, and activate Bmal1 transcription. The initial prediction was made on the basis of homology of LXXLL motifs of PER2 and several known CAR co-activators and was confirmed experimentally. Our data presents the first report of communication between drug metabolism and the circadian rhythm at the level of direct interaction between PER2 and CAR.

2. Results

Bioinformatic analysis of the transcription factor binding sites using MatInspector, Matrix Library 9.4 and User-defined IUPAC strings revealed potential binding sites for CAR on the Bmal1 promoter, eg for CAR/RXR at 414-438 (positive strand) of GXP_5050588 (Bmal1 Mus musculus). This provided a sufficient basis for the transfection experiments that showed induced expression of a Bmal1 luc reporter when Hepa 1–6 cells were transfected with Car (P < 0.01). The induction was further enhanced when co-transfection with Car and Per2 was performed (P < 0.01) which suggests an either direct or indirect influence of PER2 on CAR transactivation of Bmal1 (Figure 1). Surprisingly, the transactivation of Bmal1 with vectors overexpressing CAR and PER2 was not significantly different than transactivation with positive control overexpressing PPARα and PER2 (Figure 1) that co-immunoprecipitate at Bmal1 regulatory sites. The lack of a statistically significant difference might suggest a similar mechanism of transactivation which may lead to the exploration of structural properties of both PER2 and CAR to evaluate if a direct interaction between the proteins is plausible.

Bioinformatic analysis showed that PGC1α (PPARγC1A), a known CAR and PPARγ co-activator, may interact with CAR via its motif SLLKLLL (Mus musculus), which is homologous to both SXLXXXL motifs of PER2 (Figure 2), namely SGLLLNL (Mus musculus) and SDLNNLL (Mus musculus). A further co-activator of CAR and PPARγ, PGC1β, which has three LXXLL motifs, all with a serine at a 1 or -2 position, also exists. A similar arrangement with a serine residue in front of a LXXLL motif can also be observed in NCoA6, another CAR co-activator.

As both PER2 and PGC1α are co-activators of PPARγ, a NR involved in lipid and carbohydrate metabolism, attention was focused on the homology of the nuclear receptor. Most receptor residues that are in contact with LXXLL motifs have no charge pointing towards the hydrophobic cleft as helices 3, 4, 5 are positioned in a similar manner. Both receptors seem to have high 3D similarity of the hydrophobic cleft as helices 3, 4, 5 are positioned in a similar manner. Both receptors seem to bind LXXLL motifs at the «end of helix 5» to the «end of helix 3», which coincides with the lysine positioning. Even though this could be projected onto many nuclear receptors, the position of the lysine at helix 5 could additionally explain the favouring of co-activators with a serine before LXXLL motifs, all with a serine at a 1 or -2 position, also exists.

The hypothesis that PER2 and CAR interact directly was confirmed with co-immunoprecipitation (Figure 3). For this, Car-Flag and Per2-V5 co-transfection was performed. Initial release of proteins from Sepharose beads was performed at 70 °C and revealed the V5 reactive protein at an approximate size of 40 kDa. After additional heating of Sepharose at 95 °C for 2 min, a 135
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kDa protein was released (Figure 3A), corresponding to the expected size of PER2. The FLAG reactive protein was detected very faintly, irrespective of temperature, at 40 kDa (Figure 3B). This could correspond to CAR. This corroborates the prediction that the two proteins could interact in a co-activator and nuclear receptor manner.

### 3. Materials and Methods

#### 3.1. Plasmids

**Bmal1 luc**, having a *Bmal1* promoter cloned into a pGL3 luciferase reporter vector, **Per2**, coding for a V5-tagged PER2, and **Pparα** constructs were kindly provided by J. Ripperger and U. Albrecht (Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Fribourg, Switzerland) and have been previously described in more detail.

The **Car** expression construct was kindly provided by JeanMarc Pascussi (Institut de genomsique fonctionnel-le, Montpellier, France) and it was constructed by Negishi Masahiko (NIH, North Carolina, USA) and has previously been described in more detail.

The **Car-Flag** plasmid was kindly provided by Negishi Masahiko (NIH, North Carolina, USA) and has previously been described in more detail.

An empty pGL3 basic vector (Promega) was used to perform transfections with equal ammounts of DNA. All wells were transfected with 50 ng **Bgal** – pSV-β-Galactosidase Control Vector (Promega), for normalization. All constructs besides the commercial pGL3 basic and **Bgal** represent *Mus musculus*.

#### 3.2. Transfections

Transfections were performed on Hepa 1-6 cells available from the European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures. The cells were held at 5% CO2 and 37 °C and transferred to 96-well microplates 24 hours prior to transfections with 5 x 10^6 cells in DMEM and 10% FBS. For transfections X-tremeGENE HP DNA (Roche) was used.
3. 3. Luciferase assay and statistical analysis of results

Cells were lysed using Passive Lysis Buffer (Promega). Luciferase assay was performed using the ONE-Glo Luciferase (Promega) according to manufacturer’s instructions and measurements were performed on Synergy H4 (BioTek). Results were normalized using analysis of β-galactosidase activity and additional normalization to positive control using luciferase activity was performed for microplate comparison. Analysis of variance was performed and results were logarithmized to achieve variance homogeneity. The t-test analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 6.0 software. In cases where significance for microplate comparison. Analysis of variance was performed and results were logarithmized to achieve variance homogeneity. The t-test analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 6.0 software. In cases where significance is very high, the software returns p values in the form p>0.0001.

3. 4. Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP)

HeLa cells were seeded on 6-well plates and co-transfected with Car-Flag and V5-tagged Per2 using Lipoctamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were harvested 2 days after transfection using 200 microliters of the lysis buffer: 50 mM Tris · HCl at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% (w/v) NP-40 and complemented with protease inhibitors (Roche). The cell lysate was agitated for 10 min at 4 °C and centrifuged at 14000 g 20 min at 4 °C. Supernatant was transferred to a tube containing FLAG-coupled Sepharose beads (Sigma-Aldrich) and rotated for 2 h at 4 °C. After the centrifugation at 10000 g for 5 min at 4 °C the supernatant was then collected and stored as a whole cell lysate sample. Sepharose was washed 3 times with additional 200 microliters of lysis buffer and the first wash-out was collected as wash out sample. 50 microliters of Laemmli buffer was added to the Sepharose, followed by heating for 10 min at 70 °C and again for 2 min at 95 °C. Western blot was performed with 3 different samples: whole cell lysate, wash out and immunoprecipitate (IP) in two parallel conditions, one with anti-FLAG Ab A8592 (Sigma-Aldrich) and the other with anti-V5 Ab V8137 (Sigma-Aldrich).

3. 5. Discussion

The comparable transactivation of Bmal1 following co-transfections with Car/Per2, or Pparα/Per2 may suggest a similar mechanism of transcriptional activation of Bmal1. If this is the case then, in conjunction with ChIP experiments revealing binding of PER2 and PPARα at regulatory regions of Bmal1, this could further support the proposal that PER2 could potentially act as CAR’s co-activator and that the pair can form transactivation complexes either alone or with other partners. This is further supported by finding CAR binding sites at the Bmal1 promoter. The discovery is interesting as both proteins are important for cell homeostasis. However, PER2 is not the only co-activator of these nuclear receptors and PER2 is expressed at certain times of the day. To confidently state how important is the effect of different Bmal1 transcriptional activity, this should be tested on reporter cell lines. We can speculate that additive effects of xenobiotic ingestion, social jet lag and nutrition overload can affect circadian clock driven endogenous liver metabolism. This can result in liver abnormalities, such as non-alcoholic fatty liver disease that could terminate in HCC.

Since some of the predictions of this study were based on homology, it is worth noting that according to Savkur and Burris the amino acid at -1 of their class 3 LXXLL motifs should be an non-polar amino acid. However, since human and mouse 2nd PER2 LXXLL have G or D at -1, with high conservation of the rest of the sequence, motifs that do not follow this strict consensus of an unpolar -1 residue are shown in our alignment. As well as this, the residue at -1 most probably points outwards from the hydrophobic pocket and therefore does not directly interact with helices 3-5 of NRs. It would be worth exploring if it could interact with the charge clamp of NRs. Another interesting observation is the presence of a band at approximately 40 kDa at the western blot with anti-V5 Ab. Similar sized bands have been observed in our previous work, where a >40 kDa band was detected with western blot from mouse liver samples, with the use of a different Ab (Abcam ab467). Unfortunately this could not be verified using our CoIP samples with these Abs, as Abcam does not provide this specific Ab any more. Work from other researchers has shown the existence of a shorter PER2S isoform in Homo sapiens with the size of 45 kDa and co-IP at 55 kDa. If a similar truncated form of PER2 was observed, it could be speculated that PER2 can interact with CAR with its first LXXLL. However, this does not exclude an interaction of PER2 with CAR with its second LXXLL. It may be possible that a co-activator interacts with an NR with multiple LXXLL motifs, but with a different affinity. Although a cocktail of protease inhibitors were used with the lysis buffer, it is still possible that the >40kDa band is a product of protein degradation. It is interesting to note that Car shows both diurnal expression patterns in liver, with Car mRNA levels oscillating in phase with Bmal1, and the possibility to be activated by ligands. The induction could very well be dependent on the phase or time of induction. If this is the case, such findings should be considered in the pharmacoki-
netics of drug active ingredients, especially as CAR regulates the expression of several CYPs.\textsuperscript{13,16,19,46} This work may therefore provide an important new link in understanding the connection between internal clock machinery, metabolism and pharmacokinetics.

As CAR can be activated by xenobiotics, such as flavonoids, cathelmins and similar polyphenols, and also active ingredients of drugs, eg. barbiturates, paracetamol and some compounds with a steroid-like structure, it would also be interesting to see if such activation has any physiological effect on transcription of genes involved in circadian rhythms.\textsuperscript{13,16,19,46} It would be possible that high levels of CAR could affect the molecular clock in the periphery, but CAR also binds other co-activators. We cannot exclude that the robust molecular clock could be affected, which would mean that different CAR activators (eg. xenobiotics) could have an effect on liver circadian regulation of various metabolic pathways.

This work also suggests that period homologues should be considered as possible NR co-activators, not only of NRs that have an established role in circadian rhythms. Perhaps such mechanisms of multiple co-activators being able to activate a single NR could provide a compensatory mechanism in case of co-activator deregulation. On the other hand, different co-activators are expressed differentially in tissues with different phases of expression, which could in fact define a NR’s tissue and time specific function.

In conclusion, we show that CAR and PER2 can form an interaction which has implications for circadian aspects of drug metabolism.
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Povzetek

Period 2 (PER2) je pomemben faktor pri dnevnih oscilacijah, imenovanih cirkadiani ritmi. Ti so eni najpomembnejših regulatornih zank, ki so pomembne za uravnavanje homeostaze in uravnavanja prepisa velikega števila genov. Dokazali smo, da lahko PER2 deluje kot ko-aktivator konstitutivnega androstanskega receptorja (CAR), ključnega jedrnega receptora pri uravnavanju metabolizma endobiotikov in ksenobiotikov. Bioinformatska analiza je pokazala, da PER2 in CAR vsebuje strukturne elemente, ki omogočajo njuno interakcijo. To je bilo eksperimentalno potrjeno s CoIP poskusom. KO-transfekcija mišjej hepatokarcinomskih celic s plazmidami, ki omogočajo izraz Per2 in Car, poveča izrazanje Bmal1, potencialni tarčni gen CAR. Povečano izrazanje Bmal1 v celicah je višje, kot če so transficirane le s Car plazmidom. To je prvo poročilo o interakciji PER2 in CAR.