Chemiluminescence Determination of Local Anaesthetic Mepivacaine in Human Plasma and Pharmaceuticals
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Abstract
In this study, a new method has been developed for the simple determination of mepivacaine (carbocaine). The method is based on the enhancement effect of mepivacaine in the chemiluminescence (CL) reaction of tris(1,10 phenanthroline)ruthenium(II) with cerium(IV). A mechanism for the CL reaction has been proposed on the basis of UV-Vis, fluorescent and CL spectra. Under optimum conditions, the CL intensity was proportional to the concentration of the drug in solution over the range 0.45–226.25 μg mL$^{-1}$ ($R^2 = 0.9996$). The LOD was 0.34 μg mL$^{-1}$ (S/N = 3). LOD was about 10 times lower than the therapeutic concentration of mepivacaine. The percent of relative standard deviation for determination of 11 replicates at level of 9.05 μg mL$^{-1}$ and 90.50 μg mL$^{-1}$ of mepivacaine were 1.8 and 3.7%, respectively. The proposed method was applied successfully for the determination of mepivacaine in human plasma and injectable solutions.
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1. Introduction
Local anaesthetics are drugs that reversibly block the conduction of impulses in the peripheral nervous system. They vary in their clinical profiles, and these differences may be related to their chemical structures.$^1$ The amide types are widely used for various local or regional treatments. Mepivacaine (figure 1) is a local anaesthetic of the amide type. It is used for infiltration and regional anaesthesia in adults and pediatric patients. Intoxications with mepivacaine affect mainly the central nervous system (CNS) and the cardiovascular system.$^2$ The dose administered and the pharmacokinetic profiles of the drugs determine the concentration and the sensitivity required for the analytical method. Therapeutic concentrations of mepivacaine in plasma are usually in the range of 2–5 μg mL$^{-1}$. It is toxic from 5 to 10 μg mL$^{-1}$ and its fatal concentration is above 50 μg mL$^{-1}$.$^4$ Therefore, it is important to develop simple and sensitive methods for the determination of this drug.

Most of the proposed analytical methods for determination of mepivacaine are based on separation techniques such as liquid chromatography,$^3$ gas chromatography$^{10–14}$ and electrophoresis.$^{15–16}$ Furthermore, an electrochemical method has been proposed for determination of mepivacaine.$^{17}$

Chemiluminescence (CL) methods thanks to their intrinsic advantages in terms of high sensitivity, wide linear dynamic range, and simple instrumentation have become an important and valuable detection method in analytical chemistry. After the observations reported by Hercules and Lytle (1966),$^{18}$ CL reactions with ruthenium(II) complexes such as tris(2,2-bipyridyl)ruthenium(II), Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$, and tris(1,10-phenanthroline)ruthenium(II), Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ have been extensively studied and exploited in a wide range of analytical applications.$^{19–23}$ The Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ has been reported to produce more intense CL and electrochemiluminescence (ECL) in aqueous solutions in comparison with Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$.$^{24}$

Most of the separation methods such as GC and HPLC have higher sensitivity than the proposed CL met-
hod in the determination of mepivacaine but some of them have a narrower linear dynamic range and increased complexity. In addition, the cost of instruments are a limiting factor for routine analysis. Most important advantages of the CL method in comparison to the separation methods is the simplicity of its instrument and the speed of analysis. Maximum sampling rate in the proposed method is about 30 samples h\(^{-1}\). Moreover, the sensitivity of the CL method is comparable or even better than potentiometric and capillary electrophoresis (CE) methods.

In this work, it is found that the weak CL emission intensity in the CL system of Ru(phen)\(_{3}\)\(^{2+}\)–Ce(IV) was greatly enhanced in the presence of mepivacaine. To the best of our knowledge, the CL determination of mepivacaine is not reported in the literature. This method has a good LOD, 10 times lower than therapeutic concentration of mepivacaine in human plasma. Moreover, the broad time profile of mepivacaine in this CL system provide the benefit of time resolved CL to reduce the effect of blank interference from pharmaceutical or plasma matrices. The proposed method was successfully used for the quantification of mepivacaine in pharmaceuticals and human plasma samples.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents

All solutions were prepared using reagent grade chemicals and double distilled water. Mepivacaine standard solution (2830.0 μg mL\(^{-1}\), 1.0 × 10\(^{-2}\) mol L\(^{-1}\)) was prepared daily by dissolving 0.2830 g of mepivacaine hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) in water in a 100.0-mL volumetric flask. Operational solutions were prepared by appropriate dilution of the stock solution when used. Standard solution of Ru(phen)\(_{3}\)\(^{2+}\) (0.01 mol L\(^{-1}\)) was prepared by dissolving 0.3640 g of dichloro-tris(1,10-phenanthroline)ruthenium(II) hydrate (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) in water in a 50.0 mL volumetric flask. In order to prepare each one of Ce(IV) solutions with concentrations between 1.0 × 10\(^{-3}\) and 2.0 × 10\(^{-2}\) mol L\(^{-1}\), the calculated amount of ceric ammonium nitrate (Riedel-de Haën, Germany) was dissolved in appropriate volume of H\(_{2}\)SO\(_{4}\) solution (1.0 mol L\(^{-1}\)) and then, the solution was further diluted with distilled water in a 100.0-mL volumetric flask. Final concentration of H\(_{2}\)SO\(_{4}\) in the Ce(IV) solutions was between 0.03 and 0.20 mol L\(^{-1}\). Methanol was purchased from Caledon, Canada. The plasma was obtained from patients not exposed to any drug for at least 72 h (blank plasma) and was kindly supplied by health centre of Gorgan, Iran. Mepivacaine injections were purchased from local drugstores.

2.2. Preparation of Plasma Samples

Methanol was used as protein precipitant of the plasma samples. 2.0 mL methanol was transferred into a centrifuge tube including 1.0 mL plasma and the mixture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 15 min. Supernatant free of proteins was transferred into a 25.0 mL volumetric flask. Then, calculated volume of standard solution of mepivacaine was transferred into the flask for the purpose of spiking and then, the mixture was diluted to the mark.

2.3. Preparation of Mepivacaine Injections

Contents of five mepivacaine cartridges (1.8 mL, 30 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) were transferred into a small beaker. An aliquot (1.0 mL) of the drug was diluted serially to obtain appropriate concentration. In order to analyze the vial samples (30 mL, 20 mg mL\(^{-1}\)), 1.0 mL of injectable solution was diluted serially to obtain appropriate solution was diluted serially to obtain appropriate concentration.

2.4. Apparatus

A laboratory built CL analyzer with a head on photomultiplier tube was used in this study. The light emitted by the CL reaction was detected with no wavelength discrimination. Reaction cell was a 0.50-cm path length quartz cell. The block diagram of the instrument is shown in Figure 2.

2.5. General Procedure

Solution of mepivacaine (400 μL) along with 400 μL of 3.0 × 10\(^{-3}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) of Ru(phen)\(_{3}\)\(^{2+}\) were transferred into the CL cell. By placing the cell at its location in front of PMT, the program was started. After a few seconds, 200 μL of acidic Ce(IV) was injected into the cell by a microsyringe. The peak-like CL emissions were recorded in 100 ms time intervals and were automatically collected into an Excel file.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. CL Response

The method is based on the fact that the weak CL signal of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ and Ce(IV) is significantly enhanced in the presence of mepivacaine (Scheme 1).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ oxidant} & \rightarrow \text{Ru(phen)$_3^{3+}$} \\
\text{Ru(phen)$_3^{3+}$ mepivacaine} & \rightarrow [\text{Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$}]^* \\
[\text{Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$}]^* & \rightarrow [\text{Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$}] + h\nu (595 \text{ nm})
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 1. General CL reactions in the system of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$-Ce (IV)-mepivacaine

CL profiles of mepivacaine demonstrated that the CL reaction was slow. It took about 2 s to achieve the maximum peak, compared with 120–180 s for the signal to decline to base. In this study, height of each CL peak at second 10 after injection of Ce(IV) solution, was chosen as analytical signal. Typical CL profiles of mepivacaine are shown in Figure 3.

3.2. Optimization of Chemical Variables

In this study, the effect of chemical variables including Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$, Ce(IV), and H$_2$SO$_4$ concentrations in the

![Figure 3. Typical CL profiles for different concentrations of mepivacaine including: a) blank, b) 1.13, c) 9.05, d) 22.63, e) 45.25, f) 90.50, g) 113.12, and h) 226.25 μg mL$^{-1}$.](image)

![Figure 4. Optimization of chemical variables: a) Ce(IV), b) H$_2$SO$_4$, and c) Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$. Other conditions: mepivacaine concentration was 45.25 μg mL$^{-1}$; a) Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ (2.0 × 10$^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$), H$_2$SO$_4$ (0.08 mol L$^{-1}$); b) Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ (2.0 × 10$^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$), Ce(IV) (5 × 10$^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$); c) Ce(IV) (5 × 10$^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$), H$_2$SO$_4$ (0.10 mol L$^{-1}$).](image)
presence of mepivacaine (45.25 μg mL⁻¹) were investigated. In addition, the effect of Ce(IV) solution on the sensitivity (Figure 4a) in the range (1.0 to 9.0) × 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ and in the presence of 0.1 mol L⁻¹ H₂SO₄ and 2.0 × 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ Ru(phen)₃²⁺ was investigated. The maximum CL intensity was achieved by 5.0 × 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ Ce(IV).

Variable concentrations of H₂SO₄ solution from 0.03 to 0.20 mol L⁻¹ were investigated in the presence of 5.0 × 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ Ce(IV) and 2.0 × 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ Ru(phen)₃²⁺. As Figure 4b shows, the maximum CL intensity is obtained at 0.1 mol L⁻¹ H₂SO₄.

Under the above optimum conditions, the effect of Ru(phen)₃²⁺ concentration on CL intensity is studied in the range of (0.5–6.0) × 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ of Ru(phen)₃²⁺. The highest response was obtained with 3.0 × 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ of Ru(phen)₃²⁺ (Figure 4c).

3. 3. Analytical Characteristics

It was found that the CL response is linear in the concentration range between 0.45 and 226.25 μg mL⁻¹. LOD was calculated at 0.34 μg mL⁻¹ (S/N = 3). Reproducibility was investigated and the percent of relative standard deviations (n = 11) for 9.05 μg mL⁻¹ and 90.50 μg mL⁻¹ of mepivacaine were 1.8 and 3.7%, respectively.

3. 4. Interference Study

The selectivity and direct application of proposed method for analyzing human plasma and injection formulations were studied by the determination of mepivacaine in presence of some interfering substances without any prior separation or isolation. In this study, some available substances or ions in our laboratory which might be present in biological fluids or pharmaceutical preparations were investigated; such as some amino acids, citric acid, Fe²⁺, urea, Mg²⁺, HCO₃⁻, CO₃²⁻, ascorbic acid, K⁺, Na⁺, Cl⁻, lactose, sucrose, glucose, fructose, saccharin, starch, PO₄³⁻, mannitol, Zn²⁺ and SO₄²⁻. The effect of these foreign species under optimized CL conditions was determined by recovering 22.63 μg mL⁻¹ (8 × 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹) of mepivacaine in the presence of each substance. The tolerance of each substance was taken equal to the largest amount which yields error less than 3σ in the analytical signal from 22.63 μg mL⁻¹ of mepivacaine (σ is the standard deviation of response obtained from 11 repeats of the determination of 22.63 μg mL⁻¹ mepivacaine). The results are shown in Table 1.

In this CL system, protein free plasma has a sharp peak with a maximum at 0.9 s after injection of Ce(IV) solution and its CL response decreases rapidly to baseline after about 10 s. Therefore, to reduce the effect of blank interferences from human plasma, the CL intensity at 10 s after injection of Ce(IV) solution was chosen as the analytical signal for interference and application studies.

Compounds with a tertiary amine or carboxylic acid group, and also some ions can enhance the CL intensity in the reaction between Ru(phen)₃²⁺ and an oxidizing agent.²⁸⁻³² The CL kinetic profiles of some of investigated compounds presented in Table 1 are very or relatively sharp so that their CL intensity is little or approximately equal to zero at 10 s after starting CL reaction. Therefore, they have little or zero blank interferences for the determination of mepivacaine which have a broad CL time profile with a detectable response at 10 s after injection of Ce(IV) solution. However, some of the investigated substances such as morphine, tyrosine, and I⁻ had an inhibition on the CL intensity and their interferences remained until 10 s after the start of CL reaction.

3. 5. Analysis of Human Plasma and Injectable Solutions

The proposed method was applied for quantification of mepivacaine in injections and human plasma samples. All experiments involving human subjects were approved by Golestan University’s committee, and were performed in compliance with relevant laws and institutional guidelines. Before experiments, the written consent was obtained from all human subjects. Followed procedures were in accordance to the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration (1975).

The time resolved CL approach was used to reduce the effect of some blank interferences in plasma samples. It was found that a mepivacaine free plasma sample – prepared according to the procedure described in experimental section – has a sharp CL time profile with maximum value at 0.9 s after injection of Ce(IV) solution. CL intensity of blank plasma sample decreases rapidly to baseline after about 10 s. Mepivacaine has a broad time profile in this CL system and its response is detectable until 120 s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Substance to mepivacaine a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>urea, lactose, sucrose, glucose, fructose, saccharin, starch, valine, leucine, serine, threonine, cystine, K⁺, Na⁺, Cl⁻, Zn²⁺, SO₄²⁻, HCO₃⁻, CO₃²⁻, PO₄³⁻, cysteine, ascorbic acid, proline, alanine, glycine, methanol, mannitol, Fe²⁺, Mg²⁺, citric acid</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 a Molar ratio of substance to mepivacaine

---
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after injection of Ce(IV) solution. Therefore, in order to reduce the effect of blank interferences in plasma samples, CL intensity at 10 s after injection of Ce(IV) solution was chosen as analytical signal in application studies. In this way, analytical signal could be free of blank interferences of some substances such as ascorbic acid and cysteine in human plasma. We used this strategy successfully for determination of carminic acid, ketotifen, aspirin, and hydroxyzine in real samples. As can be seen in Figure 5, CL kinetic profile of plasma does not have a detectable response at 10 s after injection of Ce(IV) solution.

Table 2 shows the analytical recoveries from real samples.

The results of injection analysis were certified by reference method described in British Pharmacopoeia (BP). The accuracy and precision of the method was revealed by Student’s \( t \)-value and variance ratio \( F \)-value. Statistical analysis did not detect any significant difference between the performances of the proposed and reference method. The results are summarized in Table 3.

### 3.6 Possible CL Mechanism

In this CL system, the detection chemistry generally relies upon two key steps. Firstly, chemical or electrochemical oxidation of Ru(phen)\(_3\)\(^{2+}\) yields Ru(phen)\(_3\)\(^{3+}\). Secondly, this complex is reduced by an appropriate analyte (or analyte oxidation product) to produce an electronically excited \([\text{Ru(phen)}_3^{2+}]^*\), which can return to the base state by the emission of light. This CL matches the characteristics of photoluminescence of Ru(phen)_3^2+^, where a short-lived triplet state is generated by the promotion of an electron from the t_2g^6 orbital in the metal to the \(\pi^*\) antibonding orbital (a metal-ligand charge transfer; MLCT).

### Table 2. Determination of mepivacaine in real samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Real Sample (brand name)</th>
<th>Added (µg mL(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Found (µg mL(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Injection (Mepivacaine Exir) (^a)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.35 ± 0.21</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>15.50 ± 0.45</td>
<td>101.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>28.75 ± 0.30</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.25</td>
<td>53.45 ± 2.01</td>
<td>104.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection (Cainamid) (^b)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.09</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>13.01 ± 0.15</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>26.78 ± 0.70</td>
<td>100.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.25</td>
<td>47.96 ± 1.13</td>
<td>97.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.26</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>8.73 ± 0.48</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>23.29 ± 0.62</td>
<td>104.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.25</td>
<td>44.44 ± 0.93</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) 1.8 mL cartridge (3%, Exir Pharmaceutical Co., Iran) \(^b\) 20 mL vial (2%, Aburaihan Pharmacy Co., Iran); \(^c\) Mean values of four replications

### Table 3. Results of injection analysis by proposed method and their statistical comparison with reference method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection brand name</th>
<th>Nominal value (mg mL(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Analytical Results(^a) (mg mL(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(t)-test (^c)</th>
<th>(F)-test (^d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CL method</td>
<td>BP method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mepivacaine Exir</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31.75 ± 1.05</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.42 ± 0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caimamid</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20.35 ± 0.45</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.72 ± 0.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Mean values of four replications, \(^b\) the method was based on potentiometric titration using 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) sodium hydroxide as titrant, \(^c\) tabulated \(t\)-value at the 95 % confidence level and for three degrees of freedom is 3.182, \(^d\) tabulated \(F\)-value at the 95 % confidence level and for three degrees of freedom is 9.28
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The color of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ solution changes from orange to green immediately after oxidation with Ce(IV) solution and production of Ru(phen)$_3^{3+}$.38,39 Then, the color of the mixture changes slowly from green to orange during about 3–6 min. UV-Vis spectrum of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ (spectrum a) and the mixture of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$-Ce(IV) with one-min interval times (spectrum b to g) are shown in Figure 6.

The absorbance in the range of 400 to 500 nm (Figure 6a) is related to Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ complex. Absorbance in this region decreases immediately after mixing the Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ solution with Ce(IV) solution (spectrum b) and then increases slowly to its equilibrium value (spectrum c to g). The resulting Ru(phen)$_3^{3+}$ complex produced in the oxidation reaction is a powerful oxidant and can oxidize water to protons and O$_2$ molecules.40 Therefore, Ru(phen)$_3^{3+}$ complex returns slowly to its reduced state, Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$. Reducing agents can reduce Ru(phen)$_3^{3+}$ very fast. Electrons can transfer from the reducing agent to the $\pi^*$-orbital of phenanthroline ligands and the excited form of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ which is the same as $\pi^*$ metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) state could be produced.41 The excited electron in the $\pi^*$ orbital then undergoes intersystem crossing to the lowest triplet state of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$, from where emission occurs.42

In order to approve the abovementioned mechanism, some CL pathways might be investigated for the Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$-Ce(IV)-mepivacaine CL system, involving formation of excited Ce(III), 43 oxidation products in excited states and excited form of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$, [Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$]$^*$.

No detectable CL intensity was observed for the mixture of Ce(IV)-mepivacaine. This suggests that oxidation products and excited Ce(III) are not the main CL emitters. The CL spectrum was scanned with a spectrofluorimeter (Spectrolab, model Spectro-96) in batch mode, a fast scan (15000 nm min$^{-1}$) and with turned off excitation lamp. The CL spectra were acquired as shown in Figure 7 for Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$-Ce(IV) (spectrum a), and Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$-Ce(IV)-mepivacaine (spectrum b).

It could be clearly indicated that the maximum emissions for both mixtures (a and b) were $\sim$595 nm which is the same as maximum fluorescence emission ($\lambda_{ex}$ = 325 nm) of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ at 595 nm (inset in the figure 7). This indicated that the CL spectra were independent of mepivacaine and the emitter is Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$.

Mepivacaine is a tertiary amine and from previous studies, the oxidation of tertiary amines is understood to produce a short-lived radical cation. The $\alpha$-carbon is then deprotonated, yielding a strongly reducing intermediate. This reduces the Ru(phen)$_3^{3+}$ (produced by oxidant) to the excited state that subsequently emits light.45–48

According to the above discussion, following mechanism is proposed for the CL reaction of mepivacaine.

\[
\text{Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ + Ce(IV) \rightarrow Ce(III) + Ru(phen)$_3^{3+}$} \quad (1)
\]
\[
\text{Hyd + Ce(IV) \rightarrow Ce(III) + Mepivacaine}^{*} \quad (2)
\]
\[
\text{Mepivacaine}^{*} \rightarrow \text{Mepivacaine}^{+} + H^{+} \quad (3)
\]
\[
\text{Ru(phen)$_3^{3+}$ + Mepivacaine}^{+} + H_{2}O \rightarrow [\text{Ru(phen)}_{3}^{2+}]^{*} + \text{Mepivacaine fragments} \quad (4)
\]
\[
[\text{Ru(phen)}_{3}^{2+}]^{*} \rightarrow \text{Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$} + h\nu \ (595 \text{ nm}) \quad (5)
\]

3. 7. Response Characteristics

As can be seen in Table 4, various separation techniques including gas or liquid chromatographic and electrophoresis assays have been published for determination of mepivacaine in biological samples.3,5–16 Using a sensi-
ve detector like mass spectrometer (MS) or pre-concentration methods such as solid phase extraction (SPE), molecularly imprinted polymers and micro-extraction, some of these methods are more sensitive than the proposed CL method. Each analytical method has its own advantages and disadvantages. The methods which use a separation technique before the detection of analytes, beside the detection of mepivacaine, can provide information about concomitants and related substances such as metabolites in the sample. However, some disadvantages could be along with them, such as time-consuming manipulations, need for superior trainings, cost of instruments, and difficulties for miniaturization.

This paper described a CL method for the determination of mepivacaine in plasma samples and injectable solutions. In comparison to the methods listed in Table 4, the proposed method is a simple and fast analytical tool for determination of mepivacaine in pharmaceuticals and human plasma prior to the use of more complex instrumental techniques. Moreover, broad time profile of mepivacaine in the proposed CL reaction has a benefit of resolved time of CL to reduce the effect of blank interference from pharmaceutical or plasma matrices.

4. Conclusions

The proposed method is the first reported use of a CL reaction in determination of mepivacaine. The method is based on the enhancement effect of mepivacaine on CL reaction of Ru(phen)$_2$$^+$ with acidic Ce(IV). The broad time profile of mepivacaine allowed to reduce the effect of some interfering substances such as ascorbic acid and cysteine in plasma samples. Therefore, the method is simple for determination of mepivacaine in pharmaceuticals and human plasma.
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Table 4. Response characteristics of the proposed method in comparison with other methods for the determination of mepivacaine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>D.L. (μg mL$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>L.D.R. (μg mL$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPLC$^a$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1–100</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.002–1.0</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.15–2.4</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>1–500</td>
<td>Cerebrospinal Fluid</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC-MS-MS$^b$</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.001–0.2</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP$^c$-LC-MS-MS</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0014–0.57</td>
<td>Plasma, Urine</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC$^d$</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.001–0.01</td>
<td>whole blood</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08–2.0</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPE$^e$-GC</td>
<td>0.05 (LOQ)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPE$^e$-GC-MS</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.5–100</td>
<td>Plasma, Urine</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME$^f$-GC-MS</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.0014–0.57</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPEC$^g$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.2–2.0</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>48.2–1000</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentiometry</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>14.14–2828</td>
<td>Injections</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL$^i$</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.45–226.25</td>
<td>Plasma, Injections</td>
<td>PMi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ high performance liquid chromatography, $^b$ mass spectrometry, $^c$ molecularly imprinted polymer, $^d$ gas chromatography, $^e$ solid phase extraction, $^f$ microextraction, $^g$ High performance capillary electrophoresis, $^h$ chemiluminescence, $^i$ proposed method

Mokhtari: Chemiluminescence Determination of Local Anaesthetic...
Povzetek

V tej študiji smo razvili novo metodo za preprosto določanje mepivakaina (karbokaina). Metoda temelji na dejstvu, da mepivakain ojači kemiluminescenco (CL) pri reakciji med tris(1,10 fenantrolin)rutenijevimi(II) ioni in cerijevimi(IV) ioni. Mehanizem CL reakcije predlagamo na osnovi UV-Vis, fluorescenčnih in CL spektrov. Pri optimalnih pogojih je intenziteta CL sorazmerna koncentraciji učinkovine v raztopini v območju 0,45–226,25 μg mL⁻¹ (R² = 0,9996). LOD je 0,34 μg mL⁻¹ (S/N = 3). LOD je okrog 10-krat nižji od terapevtske koncentracije mepivakaina. Relativni standardni odmik za določitev 11 ponovitev pri nivojih 9,05 μg mL⁻¹ in 90,50 μg mL⁻¹ mepivakaina je 1,8 oziroma 3,7 %. Predlagano metodo smo uspešno uporabili za določitev mepivakaina v humani plazmi in v raztopinah za injekcije.